# ORIGINAL

#### PUBLIC HEARING ON

THE KRAMER CENTER AT NEWPORT BEACH

BEFORE THOMAS W. ALLEN, ESQ., HEARING OFFICER

NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29, 2009



(714) 647-9099 • (800) 647-9099 • FAX (714) 543-1614

www.precisereporting.com

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Public hearing was taken on behalf of	
8	the City of Newport Beach at 3300 Newport Boulevard,	
9	Newport Beach, California, beginning at 4:35 p.m., and	
10	ending at 6:25 p.m., on Wednesday, April 29, 2009, before	
11	LAURA A. MILLSAP, RPR, Certified Shorthand Reporter No.	
12	9266.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2

# LAWYER'S NOTES

PAGE	LINE	
<del>- 11 / 11</del>		
·····		
<del></del>		
······································		
	2	
	<u> </u>	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	For The City of Newport Beach:	
4	RICHARDS, WATSON, GERSHON	
5	BY: PATRICK K. BOBKO, ESQ. 355 S. Grand Avenue, 40th Floor	
6	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3101 (213) 626-8484	
7	GTEV OF MENDODE DEACH	
8	CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH BY: CATHERINE WOLCOTT, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JANET BROWN, Associate Planner	
9	SHIRLEY OBORNY, Assistant City Clerk 3300 Newport Boulevard	
10	Newport Beach, CA 92658-8915 (949) 644-3002	
11		
12	For The Kramer Center at Newport Beach:	
13	COOKSEY, TOOLEN, GAGE, DUFFY & WONG BY: DAVID COOKSEY, ESQ.	
14	535 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1000 Costa Mesa, CA 92626	
15	(741) 431-1100	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		3

1	NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29, 2009
2	4:35 P.M 6:25 P.M.
3	
4	MR. ALLEN: All right. We're going to reopen
5	the hearing on the Kramer Center at Newport Beach's
6	reasonable accommodation. I'm Thomas W. Allen, the
7	Hearing Officer appointed by the City for this matter.
8	It's Wednesday, April 29th, and we have reconvened in the
9	fire department conference room after suffering a power
10	outage in the City Council Chambers.
11	Everyone's cell phone is a turned off once
12	again, I take it. So let's proceed. Staff has an
13	announcement, and then proceed with a report, please.
14	MS. WOLCOTT: All right. I'm Catherine
15	Wolcott, Deputy City Attorney. I'm here on behalf of
16	Dave Kiff today, because Dave is in Sacramento testifying
17	on the Harmon Bill involving group homes.
18	We wanted to announce that we are continuing
19	two of the hearings that were scheduled for tomorrow for
20	Balboa Recovery. 204 21st Street will still be heard
21	tomorrow at 4 o'clock in the City Council Conference
22	Chambers. Balboa Recovery's facilities at 3206 West
23	Balboa and 1240 30th Street will be continued.
24	MS. OBERMAN: May I ask a question or
25	clarification? Does that mean that each of these aren't

1	going to be considered as separate uses?
2	MS. WOLCOTT: There were separate applications
3	submitted for each one, yes.
4	MS. OBERMAN: Okay. And just confirming if
5	MS. WOLCOTT: The staff report for 124 204
6	21st Street was set for today. Staff reports for the
7	others are not complete.
8	MS. OBERMAN: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. NICHOLS: It doesn't I mean, this
10	absolutely falls within an integral home.
11	MR. ALLEN: Yeah.
12	MS. WOLCOTT: That's something that we can
13	address tomorrow. This is on a different facility. This
14	is just an announcement of the continuance, so that
15	any it was a courtesy to anyone in the room who was
16	planning on attending tomorrow.
17	MR. NICHOLS: On the hearing, you're talking
18	about?
19	MS. WOLCOTT: Yes.
20	MR. NICHOLS: But this one you're expecting to
21	carry over anyway, right?
22	MS. WOLCOTT: We will deal with this when we
23	open this one.
24	MR. NICHOLS: Okay.
25	MS. WOLCOTT: If you're referring to the Kramer
	_ 1

_	
1	Center.
2	MR. NICHOLS: Right.
3	MS. WOLCOTT: Agenda item one, Kramer Center of
4	Newport Beach. This is one facility and two duplexes,
5	and this is a hearing on reasonable accommodation
6	requests.
7	Just to give you an agenda of how we are going
8	to go through at process today, first, Janet Brown will
9	give the Planning Department summary. I'll give a brief
10	background on Chapter 20.98. Then I will be doing the
11	presentation of Kramer Center's reasonable accommodation
12	request and highlighting some of the staff's analysis on
13	it. Then there is the Applicant's presentation. There
14	is no limit on the time for Applicant's presentation.
15	Then we will have the public hearing opened.
16	At that time, any member of the public who is present in
17	the room and wishes to speak may speak for maximum of 3
18	minutes. And we ask that you identify your name and
19	spell it if it's difficult to spell for the Court
20	Reporter so that we have it on the record.
21	Then we'll close the public hearing. The
22	Applicant can return to rebut or clarify comments. Then
23	there will be a period of questions from the Hearing

Officer to the Applicant or City staff. City staff will,

to the extent that we can, answer any questions that were

24

1	raised during the hearing by member of the public or by
2	Applicant, and we may have some questions for Applicant
3	ourselves.
4	Then the Hearing Officer will make his
5	determination, which will be to approve the request, deny
6	the request, or continue the hearing to a date certain.
7	Now, I'm going to pass the baton to Janet Brown
8	to give oh, the other thing. When Janet is done
9	giving her presentation, I will also be reading a
10	statement from Dave Kiff into the record, since he can't
11	be here today.
12	MS. BROWN: Thank you. Good afternoon, I'm
13	Janet Brown with the Planning Department. I'd like to
14	start by giving a brief background on the Kramer Center.
15	This is an unlicensed sober living facility providing
16	housing for up to 12 individuals in a duplex with six
17	individuals in each unit located at 207 28th Street.
18	Treatment for the residents at 28th Street
19	facility is provided at Kramer Center's licensed facility
20	located at 3388 Via Lido here in the City of Newport
21	Beach. The facility was established in December 2006.
22	As noted in the staff report, Applicant has
23	demonstrated a past pattern of violating state and local
24	laws with regards to licensing and permit requirements.

These violations include the housing of minor clients in

November of 2006 at the subject property prior to obtaining the proper license from the Department of Social Services.

In 2006-2007, the Department of Social Services and Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs investigated the Kramer Center. Both State licensing agencies issued fines and administrative citations to the Kramer Center for violations of state law relating to operating unlicensed while advertising as a licensed facility.

Other code violations related to the current operation facility that are known to the City include a fire safety violation that was cleared by reinspection of the facility in 2008, and the failure of Kramer Center to apply for a Federal Exception Permit as required by the City at the time that the facility was used to house more than six resident/clients on-site.

In May 2008, pursuant to the requirements of Ordinance 2008-5, which was adopted by City Council in January of 2008, the Kramer Center applied for an application for a Use Permit requesting to continue the operations at the residential care facility for up to 12 male and female resident-clients.

Public hearings for the Use Permit were conducted on December 10, 2008, and on January 22, 2009. The January 22, 2009, staff report provided details

regarding past violations of state and local licensing and permit requirements, and included a recommendation that the Hearing Officer deny the Use Permit because staff was unable to make all of the required findings.

2.2

Specifically, staff could not make the finding that the use conformed to all of the applicable provisions of Newport Beach Municipal Code Section 20.91A.050, which requires that no person with an ownership or leasehold interest or group participates in the operation of the facility will have a demonstrated pattern or practice of operating similar facilities in violation of state or local law.

At the January 22, 2009, public hearing, the Applicant requested a continuance for one week to January 29th. And on January 28th, the Applicant requested to withdraw their application for a Use Permit.

The Applicant has now submitted an application for reasonable accommodation, which is the subject of this hearing today. Applicant has requested an accommodation from the requirement from Section 20.10.020 of the Municipal Code to allow the continued operation at the sober living facility.

This section of the Code establishes land uses that are permitted by right, conditionally permitted, or not permitted to be in a residential district within the

City. The use of the Kramer Center would be classified was a residential care facility general.

1.8

And per the land use regulations of section 20.10.020 this use would only be permitted in the MFR district with approval of a Use Permit. However, in accordance with State and Federal Fair Housing laws, a disabled persons or providers of disabled individuals may request reasonable accommodation of the City's zoning and land use regulations when needed to provide an individual with a disability in equal opportunity use and enjoy a dwelling.

Under both State and Federal Fair Housing laws, City's have an affirmative duty to provide reasonable accommodation if the request is made by or on behalf of disabled individuals, the accommodation in necessary to afford the disabled applicant or individual equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling, and the request is reasonable.

Cities may find an accommodation request unreasonable if granting the request would result in a fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's program, often described as undermining the basic purpose which the requirement seeks to achieve, or would impose undue financial or administrative burden upon the City.

Pursuant to section 20.98.025 of the Municipal

1	Code, the Hearing Officer is authorized to approve,
2	conditionally approve, or deny an application for
3	reasonable accommodation based on five findings, all of
4	which are required to be made in order to grant the
5	reasonable accommodation.
6	In this staff report before you today, staff
7	has indicated that it could be possible to make the
8	required findings if the proposed alternative
9	accommodation were to be adopted.
10	That alternative accommodation includes the
11	reduction in the size or population of the facility to
12	six residents and one full-time on-site staff member, who
13	would be on-site at all times when residents are present;
14	The six residents housed within six bedrooms at
15	the entire duplex building or in one unit of the duplex,
16	with the other unit occupied by a single housekeeping
17	unit;
18	And the restructuring of the operational
19	characteristics of the facility, by leading the
20	involvement of the current administrators, and requiring
21	that a qualified, experienced and reputable administrator
22	be employed, who can ensure a professional and well-run
23	facility that can maintain compliance with state and

Staff makes this recommendation with great

local laws.

24

1	concern regarding the ability to enforce the terms of the
2	proposed accommodation given the current administrator's
3	past pattern of violating state and local law relative to
4	licensing and permit requirements.
5	Without the change in the operational
6	characteristics, with the appointment of a qualified and
7	reputable administrator, the finding that the
8	accommodation will not resolve to have any fundamental
9	alternation in the nature of the City's zoning program
10	cannot be made.
11	And further, it would undermine one of the
12	basic purposes of Ordinance 2008-5 which it seeks to
13	achieve, and that is to ensure that unlicensed
14	residential care facilities purporting to serve the
15	disabled are operating in compliance with city, state and
16	federal laws and regulations.
17	That concludes my presentation, and I'm going
18	to turn it over now to Catherine Wolcott of the City
19	Attorney's Office.
20	MS. WOLCOTT: I'm going to read into the record
21	a statement from Dave Kiff, Assistant City Manager.
22	Beginning quote.
23	"I regret that I'm not with you today. As
24	staff member for the City considering this
2.5	reasonable accommodation application. I note the

City's duty, according to case law and guidance from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, to make every effort to accommodate the rights of persons in recovery to reside in housing of their choice in our community.

"As staff has reported, it is feasible to accommodate this use in our community within the context of our Ordinance without making a fundamental alteration in our Ordinance with two clear limitations. One, to limit the persons in recovery here to six persons across the entire duplex building. And two, the two persons integral to the Kramer Center's operation,

Mr. Kramer and Ms. Berner, not be involved in the facility's operation in a managerial capacity.

"It is with this latter limitation that I have the greatest problem, given that
Mr. Kramer, with Ms. Berner's assistance,
operated an ADP licensed treatment facility just
a few short blocks away from 207 28th Street,
and that they have housed persons at 207 28th
Street for the sole reason for residing at 207
28th Street is to get treatment at their
licensed facility.

"I cannot envision a straight-face scenario where Mr. Kramer and Ms. Burden can appropriate distance themselves from management at 207 28th Street. I'm open to hearing how this could occur, but I can't see it today. I also can't see how the City would enforce the terms of whatever limitation was agreed to. "The only practical way, again that I can see, for this second limitation to be

"The only practical way, again that I can see, for this second limitation to be appropriate is for Mr. Kramer and Ms. Berner to sell the facility at 207 28th Street. At that point, arguably, this application can be treated as a new applicant or a new facility.

"Again, though, that said, I'm trying to keep an open mind to hear how I could be wrong. But as the Manager of the Code Enforcement Division that ultimately would be obligated to enforce the conditions of the reasonable accommodation, I do want to stress to the Hearing Officer the staff's concerns about my staff's ability to enforce this limitation.

"I do not believe that Mr. Kramer or

Ms. Berner have established a relationship of

trust with us, and I'm challenged to see how

that could be improved going forward.

1	"Sincerely, Dave Kiff."
2	And I will talk a little more about Mr. Kiff's
3	concerns as we get on with all the staff's concerns as we
4	get on with the presentation.
5	Those of you who have sat in these hearings
6	before have heard some of the background on reasonable
7	accommodation. For anyone who has not been in these
8	hearings, I will briefly give some background.
9	Under the Federal Fair Housing Act, as
10	Ms. Brown has said, unlawful discrimination includes
11	refusal to make reasonable accommodations in rules,
12	policies, practices or services, when such accommodations
13	may be necessary to afford a handicapped person with
14	equal opportunities to use and enjoy a dwelling. This
15	puts in affirmative duty on the City.
16	The Federal Fair Housing Act, FFHA, requires
17	cities to make exceptions from usual rules, policies, and
18	practices under a certain set of circumstances.
19	One, when the request to made on behalf of a
20	disabled individual;
21	Two, it the request is reasonable;
22	Three, if the exception, the accommodation, is
23	necessary to afford a disabled individual an equal
24	opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.
25	Now, usually we don't have the extreme

difficulty establishing the first prong of that analysis,
because we ask the Applicant to submit a statement under
penalty of perjury that everybody who will reside in the
facility will be either in recovery from alcohol or drugs
and therefore, under the Federal Code of Regulations
defined as disabled, or will have some other disability,
if it's a different type of handicapped facility. The
source of that is 42 U.S. Code 3604, for anybody who
wants to look it up.

2.0

2.1

Requests are considered unreasonable if granting the request would either impose undue financial or administrative burden on the City, or result in a fundamental change in the nature of the City's zoning program or any City program.

And that is why we do the analysis that we do at length in the staff report, because we have to analyze both the reasonableness prong and the necessity prong.

Fundamental alteration is also described as -- "fundamental alteration" being the undermining of the purpose of the Zoning Code. Fundamental alteration is also described as undermining the basic purpose which the requirement seeks to achieve.

Something we did not discuss in the PowerPoint is is that when a City or a housing provider has found that a request is not reasonable, they are also

considered to be under an affirmative duty to engage in an interactive process with Applicant.

2.2

2.5

And if the request made is not reasonable, we have a duty to try to find a request that could be reasonable, that wouldn't fundamentally undermine the purpose of the restriction that was put in place to achieve.

And that is what we have done in this situation. We have read -- this is from -- a direct quote from the joint statement of the Department of Justice and the Housing of Urban and Development there.

When a government entity refuses a requested accommodation because it is not reasonable, it must discuss whether there are alternate accommodations that would meet disability-related needs of the requester.

And the disability-related needs of the requester are important in this analysis.

If the alternative accommodation must meet the needs and -- would meet the needs and it's reasonable, the government entity must grant the accommodation. And we were informed by the Department of Housing and Urban Development investigator last week that they are -- this is an affirmative duty on our part.

We have engaged in an interactive process with Applicant. We looked at what possible accommodations

could be made that were reasonable, that did not
undermine the fundamental purpose of the restrictions in
the Zoning Code. And we focused on the needs of the
disabled residents, not on the particular operator.
And we will that was how we came up

with -- the entire team came up with the recommendation to limit to six per dwelling unit or six per the entire building, and to have the operational restrictions on the two individuals who have the past pattern and practice of illegal behavior, which made us unable to make the finding in the Use Permit hearing. We'll talk more about that later.

To do the necessity analysis, the first question is, will the accommodation allow the disabled individual to live in a dwelling?

In this case, we looked at both the current residents and prospective residents. In the category of prospective residents, we looked at the level of population requested by the Applicant and the level of population recommended by the staff.

As to current residents, this use is currently subject to abatement. If we abated the facility now, the current residents would lose their current housing. And for this reason, as in past reasonable accommodation hearings, we have recommended that current residents be

1	permitted to continue their stay until they have
2	completed the program.
3	As to prospective residents, we will get into
4	whether it's necessary with 12 and whether it's necessary
5	with six a little farther on.
6	The next question, would the
7	individual disabled individual be unable to live in
8	the dwelling without the accommodation? Is there a
9	direct link between the accommodation and the required
10	equal opportunity? And the equal opportunity is what
11	Federal law requires that we provide.
12	Two prongs that Courts have looked at when they
13	are assessing equal opportunity is, is the required
14	accommodation necessary to make the facility financially
15	viable? And does the requested accommodation provide a
16	therapeutic benefit? Is there a direct relationship
17	between the accommodation requested and some sort of
18	alleviation of the disability-related needs of the
19	Applicants?
20	This is also where I'm going to put the
21	discussion of the California Building Code issues which
22	come into our reasonableness analysis.
23	If there are well, relevant occupancy types.
24	As many of you who have read the staff report probably
25	know, the California Building Code defines describes

1	occupancy with numbers that sound kind of like a zoning
2	code classification, but they are very different.
3	The Building Code does look at the use that's
4	going on inside a particular building, and they
5	classify what we would call use in land use, they call
6	an occupancy type. And they have come up with specific
7	controls and life safety protections for different
8	occupancies, different requirements, to protect at the
9	level that's appropriate for that type of occupancy.
LO	R3 occupancy, under the Building Code, is
11	single and two-family occupancies, so single family homes
12	and duplexes.
L3	R4 occupancy are recovery facility occupancies,
L4	which are housing seven or more disabled individuals
L5	within a building.
16	R3.1, are recovery facility occupancies housing
L7	six or less in a building.
L8	State law establishes the construction
19	standards and life safety requirements based on their
20	operating characteristics and their needs.
21	Go back for a second.
22	If the Kramer Center is housing six or fewer
23	disabled residents within the building at 207 28th
24	Street, it's an R3 occupancy facility. It's feasible
25	that they could meet the life safety requirements. They

1	are very similar to single and two-family occupancies.
2	If there are more than six, if there are seven
3	or eight or if there's 12, they are an R4 occupancy, and
4	there are different, more stringent, requirements for
5	life safety within the building. So that is one of the
6	reasons that we recommended no more than six.
7	We want to emphasize life safety requirements
8	are established by the State Fire Marshal. They are
9	enforced by the Newport Beach Fire Marshal, but the
LO	Newport Beach Fire Marshal did not draft them.
L1	The City has the authority to allow substitute
L2	alternative materials, use and methods that will provide
L3	equivalent protection, but we cannot waive that
L4	protection.
L5	As we discussed earlier, Applicant's requested
L6	an exemption to the restrictions of the Newport Beach
L7	Municipal Code section 20.10.020, which requires
L 8	residential care general unlicensed to be located only in
L9	a MFR zones with a use permit.
20	The first analysis is, is this request
21	necessary to afford disabled individuals the right to use
22	and enjoy a dwelling?
23	Can a disabled individual live in the home
24	without the accommodation? If the answer is yes, they

can live in the home without the accommodation, then the

1 analysis stops there.

1.0

2.0

Does the facility require -- because -- I'm sorry. I should clarify. If they can live in the home without the accommodation, the accommodation does not need to be granted.

Does the facility require the requested accommodation at the requested population level to achieve financial viability and supportive recovery environment? And there's a 9th Circuit case that discusses that at least a little is the City of Edmonds v. Washington.

Our Municipal Code allows us to consider four factors when we are considering this essay. One, whether the accommodation will affirmatively enhance the quality of life for individuals with a disability, which goes to the therapeutic benefit analysis.

Two, whether the disabled individuals were denied an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing type of their choice without the accommodation, whether the accommodation is necessary for financial viability, and whether the existing supply of facilities similar in nature and operation is going to be sufficient to -- already there in existence, to provide the individuals an opportunity to enjoy a dwelling of a similar nature.

1	We analyzed the reasonableness and necessity of	
2	this request with regard to the following categories, as	
3	I mentioned earlier, current residents, prospective	
4	residents at the Applicant's requested level and at	
5	staff's recommended level.	
6	Necessity, as we've discussed, current for	
7	the current residents, we did find that there is	
8	necessary for the current residents. We are not trying	
9	to go in and abate while people are still in the middle	
10	of their recovery process.	
11	However, the Applicant has not submitted	
12	evidence that requires more than six residents that it	
13	requires more than six residents to be financially	
14	viable. The Applicant has not submitted evidence that it	
15	requires more than six residents for therapeutic	
16	benefits.	
17	The Applicant has expressed willingness before	
18	the hearing to submit financial information. And the	
19	Hearing Officer has requested Counsel for the City and to	
20	the Applicant to provide further briefing on necessity,	
21	specifically on the financial viability issue and on the	
22	availability of other similar housing opportunities	
23	within the community.	
24	I believe the Hearing Officer has said that he	

may be asking -- may be directing that there is a

1	continuance, so that we can have the chance to do the		
2	write-up and provide him with this information.		
3	To give a little bit of direction on where we		
4	are going with our necessity analysis, however, I'd like		
5	to give you some information from the case called Bryant		
6	Woods Inn v. Howard County. This is a situation in		
7	which, I believe, Alzheimer patients were being housed in		
8	a facility where eight individuals could be housed by		
9	right in a rezoning district. And this facility operator		
10	wanted to increase from the amount that that County had		
11	determined was appropriate to a higher amount.		
12	The Court found that increasing the size of the		
13	facility from eight to fifteen would provide a financial		
14	benefit to the facility operator, but it was not		
15	necessary to provide disabled residents with housing.		
16	And also, that the plaintiff didn't carry its burden of		
17	showing that the accommodation was necessary to provide		
18	the disabled with an equal opportunity for housing.		
19	Some of the significant factors that the Court		
20	mentioned in this case were:		
21	There were 30 similar facilities operating in		
22	the jurisdiction which had a vacancy rate at 18 to 23		
23	percent;		

Plaintiff failed to present evidence that

expansion was necessary for financial viability;

24

1	And the plaintiff failed to show that the		
2	expansion would be therapeutically beneficial for the		
3	residents.		
4	Also noted is that the Court looked at how the		
5	Applicant's request could end up if it were taken to the		
6	logical extreme of the Applicant's reasoning, which were:		
7	"If Bryant Woods Inn's position were taken to		
8	its limit, it would be entitled to construct a 10-story		
9	building housing 57 residents, on the rationale that the		
10	residents had handicaps."		
11	Just because the disabled individuals would be		
12	living in the building, we do not feel, means that no		
13	reasonable restrictions can be put on the building.		
14	Other necessity considerations. As to current		
15	residents, we discussed.		
16	Prospective residents would lose the ability to		
17	live in this duplex, but they would not lose the ability		
18	to live in other similar existing facilities. They could		
19	have any number of options. If they want to live in a		
20	12-bed sober living facility in a duplex, there are a		
21	number of them that are provided within the City.		
22	At the level proposed by staff for prospective		
23	residents, six, there are limited alternative		
24	opportunities to live in a small sober living		
25	environment, but there may be some available in the City.		

1	Mr. Allen has requested that the City provide
2	him with an updated list of the number of sober living
3	beds available in the City at this time. The last time
4	that we did a survey of this was in 2007. We agreed with
5	the Hearing Officer that that is appropriate, if we are
6	analyzing this under this approach.
7	So if this Hearing Officer directs a
8	continuance, we will be coming back with that updated
9	information. And that will give us more information
10	about what the opportunities are in the community to live
11	in a small sober living environment. We may find that
12	there are more than staff is aware of.
13	Other factors in reasonableness. Whether the
14	accommodation would fundamentally alter the character of
15	the neighborhood;
16	Whether the accommodation would result in
17	substantial increase in traffic or insufficient parking;
18	Whether granting the accommodation
19	substantially undermines any express purpose of the
20	General Plan or the specific plan;
21	And whether the accommodation would create
22	institutionalized environment due to the number and
23	proximity of similar uses.
24	We have required findings that we are making
25	under reasonable accommodation. We can only grant the

1	reasonable accommodation if all five findings can be	
2	made. Those are:	
3	Would granting a request impose an undue	
4	financial or administrative burden on the staff? And our	
5	initial answer to this was no, but there was some serious	
6	reservations about that, particularly those reservations	
7	highlighted by Mr. Kiff.	
8	We proposed the alternate accommodation with	
9	the condition that the two individuals who have been less	
LO	than forthcoming with us in the past and who have made	
L1	false statements on the record at that hearing would not	
L2	be involved.	
L3	However, we don't we have not determined how	
L4	to structure that condition in a manner that would not	

However, we don't -- we have not determined how to structure that condition in a manner that would not create an undue administrative burden trying to enforce it, trying to monitor and make sure that that condition were being followed.

We had to make a recommendation, either yes, you know, in favor of granting the accommodation or in favor of denying the accommodation.

And we made the recommendation to grant with reservations and with caution, leaving open that we had not heard from the community on this issue, and we had not heard from Applicant on this issue, and that we needed all the information that we could get before we

1	made the final recommendation on what was appropriate		
2	here.		
3	Would granting the request result in a		
4	fundamental alteration in the nature of the City's zoning		
5	programs? In that situation, we'd look at what is the		
6	request they are asking for, and would granting this		
7	undermine the particular purposes of that request?		
8	They have asked to be located in the R2 zone		
9	with currently residential care facilities that are		
LO	unlicensed can only be located in an MFR zone. And		
L1	they've asked to be allowed to locate there without the		
12	Use Permit, when our Zoning Code requires that they won't		
13	get in an MFR zone with a Use Permit.		
L4	So we have to look at, what are the basic		
15	purpose of the R2 zone, and will they be undermined if we		
16	grant the accommodation?		
L7	And we have to look at allowing the use to		
L8	continue without a Use Permit. Would that undermine the		
L9	basic purposes the basic purpose of the Use Permit		
20	requirement was put in place to achieve?		
21	Purposes of the zoning, R2 and MFR Zones.		
22	R2, the purpose is to provide areas for		
23	single- and two-family residential uses per the quoted		
24	section of the Municipal Code. This is a medium to high		
25	density, depending on the location.		

And MFR, the purpose is to provide for single-, two- or multi-family residential uses up to 38 per units per gross square acre, which is also considered to, by our Planning Department, to be a medium to high density.

2.

At staff's proposed level of density, which would be either six residents in one unit, single-family unit in the other, or three units -- three residents in one unit, and three in the other, the medium level of density would be achieved.

The purpose of the Use Permit is because when uses with particular operating characteristics that require special conditions, special controls, are located in residential zones, we feel it's appropriate to apply controls that will enable them to operate compatibly with other uses in the area and to prevent the negative secondary impacts on other residential uses there.

Ordinance 2008-05 requires the Use Permit for all non-conforming uses in residential areas. The purpose of this, as stated in the Ordinance, is to ensure that the purpose of the Zoning Code is achieved, and that adverse secondary impact to non-conforming uses are mitigated.

The other purposes are to promote the public health, safety and welfare, and implement the goals of the General Plan uses do not change the character in

1 residential neighborhoods.

The other purpose and equally important is to protect and implement the recovery and reintegration of the disabled, in part by avoiding overconcentration that would lead to institutionalization in the area.

There are also some operating conditions that are in place in the Use Permit that are intended to protect the living environment and the recovery environment for the individuals who are residing in these houses.

Would the purposes of the Use Permit be undermined if this accommodation is granted? In other reasonable accommodation hearings, we have recommended that, with the appropriate conditions, the Use Permit requirement would not be undermined, because our Ordinance also allows the Hearing Officer to put conditions on a reasonable accommodation that is granted.

The controls can be the same on a reasonable accommodation as they would be under a Use Permit; therefore, the control section is not undermined.

The reasonable accommodation, as we've mentioned before, does not run with the land; therefore, it is not a land use entitlement specific to the operator and the particular use. Or if it's granted to an individual disabled person, it is specific to that

specific disabled individual.

The staff analysis in this case was that with the conditions, most of the findings required to issue a Use Permit can be made for this facility. We had reservations on some, and we are waiting to hear more evidence before we complete our recommendation.

This is why we proposed alternate conditions that we believe would meet the needs of any disabled individuals who were seeking sober living in this environment in the City of Newport Beach.

However, we have some areas of concern, as I've mentioned. We have concerns about how to formulate enforceable managerial conditions, we have concerns about administrative burden, and we have concerns about the client -- the level of client supervision that could be provided so that the disabled individuals are not adversely affected by the presence of approximately 13 alcoholic beverage outlets in a three block radius surrounding the facility.

And we are looking forward to hearing the Applicant's explanation as to why this would be an appropriate location in that situation.

The conditions that we've proposed would be a bed cap of no more than six clients in the building, with one manager on-site at all times; that residents that are

1	present are to be control.
2	That second one was left there by accident.
3	Quiet hours from 10 p.m. to 8 a.m.;
4	Quiet hours for television from 10 p.m. to 8
5	a.m.;
6	No secondhand smoke detectable off property.
7	At the Use Permit hearing, the Applicant mentioned that
8	this was problematic, and that they weren't sure how they
9	were going to control secondhand smoke. That is a
10	concern of ours.
11	Another facility believes it may have a
12	solution in that it could while maintaining the garage
13	as clear for parking, that facility has said they believe
14	they could move the smoking area to the garage and put a
15	filtration system in the garage so that the smoke was
16	processed there and did not harm the neighboring
17	residents. So that is one option that the Applicant
18	might consider.
19	24-hour hour contact to address neighbor's
20	concerns;
21	Removal of specific individuals with
22	demonstrated past pattern of unlawful behavior from
23	managerial, administrative and therapeutic roles;
24	No residents with personal vehicles;
25	Garages clear for parking;

And if an Applicant could meet those

requirements anyway, then we consider that	cokay. Perhaps
the fundamental purpose of the Use Permit	operational
standards is not undermined.	

2.1

2.2

If the Applicant cannot meet all of those requirements in the -- of the operational requirements that would be required for a Use Permit because it's a reasonable accommodation situation, the Applicant is given an opportunity to explain why not being able to comply with those requirements doesn't undermine the fundamental purpose of why those requirements were put in place in the first place.

So, for example, one of our -- one of the factors that the Hearing Officer is allowed to consider is, when he's determining whether or not a location is appropriate for a recovery facility, is the proximity of alcohol beverage outlets.

It doesn't mean that he has to make his decision based purely on that, but it's a factor that our Municipal Code says that he can consider. So the Applicant will have an opportunity to explain why the proximity of alcoholic beverages outlets does not undermine a purpose of the Zoning Code.

Let's see. We believe the Applicant, with appropriate technology, could probably -- if they are committed to it, control secondhand smoke from being

detectable off the property.

2.2

We have some concerns about whether it would be operated in compliance with state and local law. At the level proposed by staff and the level requested by the Applicant, it would comply with the Applicant's standards of a Use Permit.

Number four we're having a problem with. Names of managers and owners provided, none of whom having exhibited a pattern or practice of operating similar facilities in violation of state or local law, and the Applicant can address that.

We also look at whether or not the use has sufficient on-site parking for the use, and whether traffic and transporting impacts have been mitigated to an insignificant level.

It's a little different analysis in a reasonable accommodation. This is a Use Permit standard. The reasonable standard is, would traffic or -- traffic or parking impact be increased substantially?

But under Use Permit, with a bed count of six, they would be short one parking spot. If six were being used in the -- if six were in one unit, and the other unit were being occupied by a single housekeeping unit. If there were three in both units, then they would meet the parking requirements for a Use Permit.

In past applications of Use Permits, staff has
taken into consideration that the in many cases, most
cases, we are short. The Applicants do not have personal
vehicles. And if that is, in fact, the case, the City
has made some accommodations there.

2.2

The average daily trip for a duplex unit with a single family unit living in it would be 13.44. Average daily trips for a residential care facility of only six clients, on-site manager, would be 19.18, which we believe is comparable. If you go to six clients and a single housekeeping unit in the other unit, you are up to 25.9, which is nearly double what the average daily trips would be of the duplex.

The Applicant has an opportunity, however, to describe their transportation policy and any reasons they feel that this would not be a substantial increase in traffic.

Under the reasonable accommodation, we can consider these factors and whether they create a substantial increase.

Required findings for a Use Permit, which we are considering when we're analyzing a reasonable accommodation, is whether the fundamental use -- the fundamental purpose of the Use Permit is being undermined, or whether the property is physically suited

1	to accommodate the use;
2	Whether the use will change the character of
3	surrounding neighborhood and create overconcentration,
4	particularly applying the APA standard;
5	And in this case, particularly whether the
6	impact from the neighborhood upon the facility can be
7	controlled.
8	As of the date of the staff report, no van
9	traffic impacts have been reported from neighboring
10	property owners. We may hear differently today. And no
11	deliveries. And the trash collection was done by the
12	regular City trash collection.
13	The questions remaining for staff, as we've
14	discussed, are how to structure and enforce the
15	managerial condition we've proposed;
16	Whether requiring the City to enforce the
17	condition is reasonable;
18	Whether the reduction in bed count protects
19	facility residents' recovery sufficiently;
20	And the financial viability of the facility to
21	supply questions.
22	If no matter how hard we've tried to find an
23	accommodation, any accommodation that we can come up with
24	or the Applicant's requests, even with trying as hard as
25	we can, we cannot if we don't find it, and we still

1	can't find that it's reasonable, then we are not required
2	to grant the accommodation.
3	And that is our presentation. All right.
4	That's the end of the staff report. If you have any
5	questions?
6	MR. ALLEN: I think I'll hold my questions
7	until we give Applicant an opportunity to make a
8	presentation.
9	MR. COOKSEY: Could I have that last screen up?
10	First of all, let me introduce myself. To
11	those of you who were not from the last hearing on this
12	matter, my name is David Cooksey. I'm a lawyer. I have
13	my law firm in Costa Mesa. I'm here to represent the
14	Kramer Center, and address the questions remaining, which
15	are up there on the screen.
16	We are willing to make the necessary managerial
17	changes and limit the ability for Mr. Kramer to be
18	involved in the day-to-day operation.
19	Michelle Berner will be moving on to other
20	things or in a limited capacity at Kramer Center. We
21	hope to be able to introduce new managerial personnel to
22	the City, so that they can at least feel some comfort
23	with the administrative staff which is going to be
24	dealing with the day-to-day activities at the residence.
25	In analyzing this request, I think it's

1	important that we understand that there was no treatment,
2	testing, counseling that takes place at the premises on
3	27th Street. All of that is taken care of at the 3388
4	Via Lido address, where Kramer Center has its offices,
5	has a large conference room, not as large as this one,
6	but adequate for the numbers that they are dealing with.
7	Under the circumstances, we feel that we
8	can make the City and the City staff comfortable with the
9	management, and we will work with them in every regard to
10	accomplish that.
11	As to the second point up there, we think that
12	it is reasonable for the City to grant us this request
13	for reasonable accommodation, because, really, having six
14	individuals in each duplex unit is no different than
15	having a family of six in each duplex unit. And keep in
16	mind, all that goes on at that facility is that the
17	clients live there, eat there, and sleep there. There's
18	no treatment as such given there.
19	With respect to the bed count and I'll
20	combined the last two points in my comments here if we
21	reduce the bed count to six for the entire count duplex
22	unit, it's not going to be financially viable for us to
23	continue the operation there.

39

So we are willing to turn over our financial

24

viability that the City would require in order to satisfy that particular question remaining.

2.2

The only other comment that I have to make with regard to the smoke, I'll just tell you that I was a smoker for 20-some years. I started in college, and I finally quit at the age of 40 or 41. And it's a tough thing to do, especially -- I'll tell you one thing, cigarettes always tasted good with alcohol. If any of you have been smokers in the past, you may know what I mean.

I think we can do the same thing that another operator has done, which I used to do when I smoked in my home. I have a wife and kids. I used to have a cigarette ashtray that recycles the air within the ashtray itself. And I don't know, but there's probably been some advances since I quit smoking some 21, 22 years ago. But we would be willing to give that a try.

I would also make the observation that this particular structure is in a portion of the Peninsula that runs generally east and west. And almost the prevailing winds for that portion of the Peninsula would below any secondhand smoke towards the commercial parking lot across the street, which I think there's an Albertson's there and other businesses.

And it would certainly disburse by the time it

1	got across the street. And we would be willing to make
2	every effort to avoid that problem by having a
3	self-contained smoke abatement technology, if that is
4	feasible.
5	Now, let me say one other thing with regard to
6	the size, the number of beds. I've had some discussions
7	with the City attorney about satisfying any Fire Marshal
8	concerns. And in particular, at the present time, the
9	building is not sprinklered.
10	I do not know what the cost is of putting in
11	fire sprinklers with a fire notice system, so we would
12	have to satisfy ourselves as to what that would cost.
13	That would also effect our financial viability.
14	We're willing to submit the necessary data,
15	paperwork, and whatever else may be needed to satisfy the
16	questions remaining and hopefully satisfy the City as to
17	those questions.
18	I have nothing further at this point.
19	MR. ALLEN: Could I just ask one question? Do
20	you have do you have concern with the comments made by
21	staff regarding the availability of other facilities in
22	this area?
23	MR. COOKSEY: You know, I don't have any
24	information on that. I know that there are no facilities
o E	like ours within I think itls mentioned in the staff

1	report that there's nothing close by. I don't remember
2	what their ratings was.
3	MR. ALLEN: Okay.
4	MR. COOKSEY: But we are not in any cluster.
5	And I think the City attorney can probably verify that.
6	MS. WOLCOTT: Not in any of the immediate
7	blocks, there aren't any. Our point was that there are
8	others
9	MR. ALLEN: On the Peninsula.
10	MS. WOLCOTT: on the Peninsula.
11	MR. ALLEN: Does who owns the real property
12	there? Does the organization own the real property?
13	MR. COOKSEY: It's owned by a corporation. And
14	it leases the property to the business. And I'll tell
15	you in all candor that the basic owner, although it's
16	owned through the corporation, is owned by David
17	Kramer
18	MR. ALLEN: Okay.
19	MR. COOKSEY: in the state of Connecticut.
20	He is the father of Todd Kramer.
21	MR. ALLEN: I see. Then Todd Kramer owns the
22	entity that operates the facility
23	MR. COOKSEY: Correct.
24	THE COURT: Kramer Center of Newport Beach?
25	MR. COOKSEY: Correct. But his role has been
	42

1	recently, and will be for the future, limited to
2	principally marketing, obtaining clients for the
3	facility. He will not be involved, and has not been
4	involved, in the day-to-day operation of the residents.
5	Of course, he is involved in some of the activities that
6	take place on Via Lido.
7	MR. ALLEN: Given this exchange of information
8	that's been presented and the concern with Mr. Kramer,
9	would you be able to work out something acceptable to the
10	City separate and apart from this hearing?
11	I would not see us engaging in negotiation over
12	his participation in the operation. And yet, inasmuch as
13	he owns it, he inherently has the power to step in and
14	operate, or and so, I would anticipate some sort of
15	arrangement that would have to be made in that respect,
16	if it was voluntary and agreeable to you.
17	MR. COOKSEY: Well, I proposed in a
18	correspondence that I could act as a liaison between the
19	City and Kramer Center. And if there were any problems
20	whatsoever that the City could not readily work out, that
21	they can contact me, and I would be certain that they
22	were worked out to the satisfaction of both parties.
23	MR. MATHENA: Excuse me. Does that mean that
24	you'll no longer be their attorney?
25	MR. ALLEN: I'm sorry. We can't engage in

1	conversation.
2	MR. COOKSEY: And if
3	MR. ALLEN: But you'll get your turn.
4	MR. COOKSEY: And if, for any reason, I was
5	discharged as their attorney, then I would notify of City
6	of such discharge.
7	MR. ALLEN: Okay.
8	MR. COOKSEY: And that was done, by the way,
9	with the consent of the client.
10	MR. ALLEN: Does that complete your
11	presentation then?
12	MR. COOKSEY: Yes. Does that answer all your
13	questions?
14	MR. ALLEN: Right. All right.
15	So may I, at this time, ask in the staff
16	report and Ms. Wolcott, you already went over it in
17	the process of your presentation, but at the top of page
18	11 and in this context, we're discussing or the staff
19	report is discussing the concept of necessity for the
20	use.
21	And one of the requirements in that respect, or
22	one of the analysis criteria, actually, is that whether
23	there is an existing supply of facilities of a similar
24	nature and operation in the community. Because that goes
25	to the heart of whether another one is necessary, at

1	least arguably.
2	So up at the top of page 11, it says "The City
3	is aware of many similar existing sober living facilities
4	in which housing for 12 individuals is provided in a
5	duplex building."
6	Recognizing staff isn't under oath and that
7	we're not conducting the hearing in that capacity,
8	nevertheless, I think it's very important for the record
9	to reflect that statement if, in fact, it can be it is
1.0	supported by staff, I just want to clarify who made that
11	observation, and what the basis for it was?
12	MS. WOLCOTT: Would you like more information
13	now, or
14	MR. ALLEN: We can do it now, and that might be
15	adequate.
16	MS. WOLCOTT: Well, I can give you some
17	information now, and we can give you more updated
18	information
19	MR. ALLEN: Okay.
20	MS. WOLCOTT: at the continued date.
21	THE COURT: If you're going to update that
22	information, why don't we do it all at once, then.
23	Because I think it's going to be appropriate, given the
24	circumstances here, to continue this item for that
25	purpose in any event.

1	MS. WOLCOTT: All right. The attendants for
2	that hearing should be aware that earlier this week,
3	Mr. Allen called our Planning Department and requested
4	updated information.
5	And he requested updated information on how
6	many sober living beds were available within the City,
7	and is now asking how many of those are located within
8	the duplexes.
9	Mrs. Brown passed the message to us in the City
10	Attorney's Office, and also to Mr. Kiff. Mr. Kiff will
11	be performing an undated audit on how many beds are
12	currently available, and there may be some information on
13	the vacancy rates at some of the facilities where he can
14	get that information.
15	And I was not aware that you wanted an update
16	of how many were in duplex units.
17	MR. ALLEN: Understand.
18	MS. WOLCOTT: But it's a very reasonable
19	question. I can say that under the Sober Living By The
20	Sea Living Development Agreement, there's a number of
21	beds permitted. I believe the number is 148 on the
22	Peninsula, West Newport and Lido Island. And I believe
23	the majority of those are in duplexes.
24	I don't know and this is one of the things
25	we'd like Mr. Kiff to confirm for us whether those are

1	in stock duplexes, or whether they are disbursed, and how
2	many are them are disbursed into six with a single
3	housekeeping unit. I believe that is one of the goals in
4	the Development Agreement.
5	MR. ALLEN: Okay. Great. That's all the
6	questions I have right at the moment.
7	So unless there's any further comment, let's
8	open the public hearing, and we don't have the usual
9	microphone and lighting system.
10	Does anybody have the ability to watch the
11	clock? And I would choose not to do that myself. All
12	right. And we'll stick with the 3 minutes that we
13	normally do.
14	And so let's proceed, and I don't know, but
15	maybe we can just start and go around the room, if that's
16	acceptable. Let's start right over here. And anybody
17	that wants to speak, let's just work down the line.
18	MS. ROY: Well, I'll be first then.
19	MR. ALLEN: Please identify yourself and spell
20	your name for the record.
21	MS. ROY: Yes. My name is Barbara Roy, R-o-y.
22	I live in Newport Beach. I have a property within 300
23	feet of this facility. I spoke at the other meeting, and
24	I still have the same concerns.
25	Really, you need go no further than to read the

1	background of this facility. I mean, these operators
2	have not shown that they merit reasonable accommodation.
3	I wonder. I look at this, and I look at the vans. They
4	have a van driver. He's very busy going back and forth
5	up to Costa Mesa and into this facility and to that
6	facility. There seems to be a lot of traffic going on.
7	I feel that six individuals is certainly
8	different than a family of six with father, mother and
9	children, or whatever. These six recovery people all in
10	the garage all smoking can create quite a lot of noise
11	and activity.
12	The wind blowing, the Santa Ana winds blow.
13	Direction, it isn't always the same winds blowing.
14	I wasn't prepared to speak, but I just feel
15	like I don't feel they merit reasonable accommodation.
16	MR. ALLEN: Nobody should feel the obligation
17	to speak
18	MS. ROY: You pointed at me, and I felt I had
19	to speak.
20	MR. ALLEN: And I don't mean that in any sense
21	except that you don't have to speak because you're here.
22	Thank you.
23	Next?
24	MS. MORNINGSTAR: My name is Linda Morningstar.
25	I'm an owner on 28th Street. My house is 123 28th. So I

know a lot about this house. This is my renter. 1 owner. She's the renter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I did not know this was a facility. All I know is that I will not walk on the same side of that house because of many things. Number one, the smoke. And I'm sorry, my house is on the same side of the street. The smoke doesn't go away. I have been thrown cigarette butts as I've walked past this house.

I have been called names. I have a 2-year-old granddaughter, and we've talked past this house, and they have said nasty words and have bad language. And I refuse to walk on that side of the house.

I did not even know until I got my little blue card that it was a facility. I thought they were just bad renters. I just thought it was a bad house. And so then when I found out it was, I was very concerned.

And then when I hear this man say that, "Well, he hopes that they will have some management." He didn't say "going to have management." He says "hopes to, he's going to have."

And for the smoking, he's going to put like these little ashtrays with, like, little fans, and this smoke will go away. I'm sorry, I've been thrown butts at, cigarette butts at. So I have personal experience with this. I've seen trash all around that house. It is a nuisance. It is dirty.

1.0

And then we say, what's the treatment? I am also a kindergarten teacher in Santa Ana, so believe in equal access for everybody. But I go past there, and I feel uncomfortable. I feel it's not a neighborhood.

It's an R2 home. It is not. It's an MFR home. My home is an R2 home. I would not be allowed to do this and just give it to a company and say, "Come on over and just bring in your problem people."

I believe that problem people should have a place to go, but not 100 yards from Cassidy's. There are 23 alcoholic places. They can walk to 23, not 13. Twenty-three. I can counted them all. You can go into the local store and get a t-shirt that says "Bars of Newport Beach." Oh, great. Great for these kind of people.

And 4th of July, if you been on the 4th of July on 28th Street, 2,000 people are in the street drinking. That's what 4th of July is down on 28th Street. Known for drinking. So we're going to be out and say here -- I can literally be in my house on 28th Street and say in my backyard, "Anybody have a beer?" Somebody would bring me one, because that's how it is. That's how it is down there. It's called the war zone. That's what we call our neighborhood.

1	I am totally against this. Do not give them
2	the right to have this accommodation. I've lived in my
3	house since 1971. So I'm not a new person down here. I
4	am extremely against this.
5	UNKNOWN PERSON: I was here strictly to
6	observe.
7	MR. FIELDS: My name is Les Fields. I own a
8	home on 30th Street.
9	And just a couple comments I have is, I know
10	it's supposed to be specific to this address, but I don't
11	have any experience towards this particular facility, but
12	I do have experience of the one that's at 124 30th
13	Street.
14	And just a general comment, not to either one,
15	just that this smoke is a huge problem. That is
16	something that really needs to be if you do grant this
17	condition, that that is really met.
18	Because my friend that lives right next door, I
19	visit him quite a bit. And they come out to the front
20	patio and smoke. And when you have six, eight, nine,
21	however many people out there, I mean, it is really,
22	really bad. And cigarette butts and all that, it really
23	is a problem. It's all on the sidewalk and whatnot. So
24	that is something.
25	You mentioned about having some type of

1	a what do you call filtration thing in the garage or
2	something. I'm not saying that might be the best thing,
	but I think anywhere but out on the front patio is
3	
4	probably the best.
5	Because you do have a lot of, you know,
6	children, and everybody comes. I owned a home at 118
7	28th Street. I just sold that last I think about two
8	weeks ago. So I'm very familiar with the 4th of July on
9	28th, and all that good stuff, and all the partying that
LO	goes on on the 4th of July.
L1	But the smoking really is a problem, and that
L2	is a concern for, I think, anybody that lives anywhere
L3	near any facility that allows that. So I don't know if
L4	it's a requirement that you can have a non-smoking
L5	facility. Maybe not. I think you can probably smoke
L6	under your own private residents.
L7	But those are my two concerns. And for the
L8	record, at least on the one on 30th Street, when you have
L9	six women versus six men, it is completely different.
20	Six women are a lot quieter, and it's a lot less activity
21	than six men. So I just wanted to state that for the
22	record.
23	Thank you.
24	MR. MATHENA: Larry Mathena. I think you know

how to spell it.

1	Briefly and frankly, mostly I'm going to quote
2	the City's own language verbatim, and it states, "The
3	Fair Housing Act requires the City focus on the needs of
4	disable residents, not specific facility operators."
5	I think it's important to note that the
6	financial viability and all the other tests only apply
7	once you decide whether or not the necessity for disabled
8	people at this particular location for this particular
9	operator comes into effect.
10	Clearly what I've heard this operator say is,
11	no, they are not applying for a lesser number. And if
12	they are not applying for a lesser number, God knows
13	there are equivalent facilities with room easily
14	available for these people. Period.
15	So once you get to that point in this analysis,
16	again, based on the Applicant's position, you don't need
17	to reasonably accommodate. You're done.
18	Secondly, you know and it's interesting.
19	From my perspective and I to accept that there is an
20	obligation on the City to attempt to achieve reasonable
21	accommodation, but it requires dancing with a partner who
22	is willing to dance with you. And it's clear to me that
23	that has not gone on.
24	And I also point out that on in your own
25	analysis, ultimately, the burden to demonstrate that the

1	requested accommodation is necessary is on the Applicant.
2	I have not seen any data whatsoever from the Applicant
3	proving their unique, absolutely vital status that only
4	they can provide, as opposed to the fact that every other
5	block there's a facility that can do exactly what they do
6	at least as good as them, maybe better. So there's a
7	necessity test that they are ignoring the existence of.
8	Above and beyond, that a couple more things. I
9	think the City is slighting itself and horrifically
10	slighting its own law. There's a reason why your City
11	Councilman said that we want to give the equivalent we
12	want to give Use Permits to people who are honorable and
13	respectable and act appropriately. And I would argue
14	that's a fundamental part of the law.
15	And I think you should be ashamed of
16	yourselves, frankly, for suggesting that, oh, we can
17	ignore that as long as they have and actually what's
18	proposed is an employee who, by the way, is certainly
19	going to be paid by this by these people who
20	repeatedly violate the law, but that's okay. Even though
21	that is not what your law says is okay.
22	And I guess the last point and forgive me.
23	It's probably the thing that troubles me most. I don't

think ethically you can propose that you can act as a

mediator and continue to be an attorney for these people.

1	I don't know how can you even propose it. Because if
2	your clients says to you, "I don't want you telling them
3	anything"
4	MR. COOKSEY: Then I've been constructively
5	discharged.
6	MR. MATHENA: From which job? And will you
7	tell the City?
8	MR. COOKSEY: I said I would. It's in the
9	materials.
10	MR. MATHENA: Sorry.
11	MR. COOKSEY: That's partly my fault, but you
12	posed the question. I felt
13	MR. MATHENA: It's okay. I'm sorry. No, no.
14	MS. OBERMAN: Denys Oberman, O-b-e-r-m-a-n.
15	I'm a resident of Newport.
16	I do not live proximate to the Kramer facility,
17	but the Kramer residents regularly come to the beach
18	right in front of my house, and regularly socialize with
19	people in Newport Coast Recovery.
20	And on a regular basis, I see them smoking and
21	drinking beer, and speaking loudly, throwing their
22	cigarette butts as they walk along to and from and ride
23	their bikes in front of my house. When I ask them
24	politely to stop, they don't. And, in fact, they utter
25	obscenities at me.

1	The reality of this type of use and I would
2	agree with what Larry Mathena said, and I'd urge the City
3	to consider that this use is not like a summer rental in
4	that the operators perform a health care like function
5	with their clients.
6	They are supervisors. They are counselors.
7	And Kramer Center, albeit off-premises from the
8	residential facility, does, in fact, provide counseling
9	and care to the people that enter that program. And if
10	I'm incorrect, then please, correct me.
11	As such, it's very important for the City to be
12	conscientious in making sure that we have conscientious
13	operators that demonstrate a certain level of
14	responsibility. And that includes respect for and
15	compliance with the law. It includes having professional
16	assistants.
17	And it includes some reasonable reliance on the
18	proprietors and the supervisors that are working in the
19	facility to demonstrate care and responsibility and
20	diligence in taking care of their people. It's not a
21	simple landlord situation. There is care that is
22	provided for these people, and they pay for that care,

And there is -- I think there is a -- I'll use the term "life safety," Deputy Wolcott. It is a matter

and they are entitled to that care.

23

24

1	of public health and safety that is important both for
2	the clients, and their residents in their facilities that
3	are clients of theirs, and also for the community.
4	And how can the City ever be assured that
5	somebody that's demonstrated repeatedly and fairly
6	consistently a pattern of ignoring the laws, supervisory
7	issues how can the City stand behind and support and
8	endorse that type of an operator? And, in fact, there
9	are other operators around that demonstrate they are more
10	responsible.
11	Lastly, the issue of overconcentration. There
12	are very clearly plenty are facilities. In this
13	particular neighborhood, there's already
14	overconcentration.
15	Thank you.
16	MR. WETHERHOLT: Drew Wetherholt. Resident of
17	Newport Beach. W-e-t-h-e-r-h-o-l-t.
18	Couple things I'd like to comment on. The
19	Applicant's attorney, some of the comments that he made.
20	First thing is regarding the financial viability of the
21	establishment. We're talking about their financial
22	viability, but I would also like to bring up the
23	financial viability of the various properties, property
24	ownership, within the areas, as far as either rental

aspect or impact of value.

I'm involved with ownership that is south of
this property regarding renting trying to rent some
condos there, and there are concerns there. There have
been objections, because there's a drug rehab on the
street. The ownership of that condo has actually moved
out of state, because they did not want their 3-year-old
daughter living on that street with a drug rehab.

2.1

2.2

Regarding financial records that the Applicant is willing to provide, to illustrate that, I would impress or insist that the City request Federal tax returns from 2006 forward for the Applicant for the operation, the owner of the operation, the corporation, the LLC, and the owner of the real estate.

I'm in commercial real estate. It's very easy to manipulate those documents to create a financial statement that looks like it's a distressed financial statement.

Regarding the smoking, I mean, I almost find this very comical. The Applicant's attorney says smoking takes good with alcohol. I find that very disturbing when this location is within sight of three or four very established bars that are known for partying. There's 23 alcohol establishments within a close walking distance of this location. So if you got them out there smoking, tastes good with alcohol, what's the next step?

1	The other concern there is that let's move them
2	to the garage to smoke. That is very disturbing as well.
3	These properties are in close proximity. If this house
4	catches fire, it certainly will engulf the neighbor's
5	property or create significant damage to that aspect.
6	Thirdly, regarding which direction the smoke
7	goes, there's no question. It drifts right onto the
8	adjacent properties. It does not blow across the street
9	to the commercial lots. And you're welcome to stand
10	there and watch it.
11	MR. COOKSEY: I'm not going to take up smoking.
12	MR. WETHERHOLT: I highly recommend that. If
13	drugs and alcohol don't kill you, the smoking will.
14	And that's really all I have to say at this
15	point. I mean, there are multiple locations in the area.
16	124th Street, for example, is very close by. There's
17	issues there. There's issues how that is impacting
18	values there. There are two properties that are trying
19	to sell two duplexes. And the realtors that are
20	representing that property have indicated that there is
21	problems selling properties that have drug rehabs on the
22	street.
23	That really covers it. Thank you.
24	MR. NICHOLS: Dick Nichols, N-i-c-h-o-l-s. I
25	was on the Council but I'm not now.

1	I just don't think we're obeying the law,
2	either our own law or California law. And I'd like to
3	state that unequivocally.
4	The first thing is California law. That is a
5	requirement of us, as a City, to observe and enforce.
6	That's part about the type of City we are, that we are
7	supposed to be enforcing California law. I don't see it
8	here.
9	Next thing, the Federal law. The Federal law
10	defines reasonable accommodation only for families less
11	than six. It does not define it for a family of 52. It
12	does not define it for a family of 12, in my
13	understanding. It's for houses handling less than six,
14	and they are, by definition, considered to be a family.
15	Okay. Number three, the General Plan rezoned
16	all these areas R2. These areas are all have more
17	home I mean, they are built they have more people on
18	it than R2. But we just recently went through a
19	rezoning, and we rezoned this to R2. And our City is
20	saying that that's what we're supposed to do. Well, if
21	we're supposed to do it, we need to do it, okay?
22	Then the next thing is that this is an integral
23	home. There is absolutely no question about it. There's
24	a whole thing that you've put down on the transportation,
25	and where people are going, and the fact that they are

1	treated at 3388 Via Lido. That defines an integral home.
2	And an integral home says thou shall license every part
3	of that facility under the same license, or if it can't
4	be done, each one would be given an individual license.
5	And I'm not sure whether that means that they
6	all have to be treated that way or not. I don't know how
7	that works. It's obvious that this can all be treated as
8	under one, okay?
9	Finally, I want to go into this a little bit

Finally, I want to go into this a little bit further. This idea that you've been very good so far in saying that six-or-under in one part of a duplex means that you can't have a six-or-other in the other part of the duplex. Because that would be 2 six-or-unders on the same property and, therefore, it would really be 12. And I think that's a very good position. I think that's a reasonable position to take.

1.3

2.0

Now, we seem to be backing up from all of these things. And we're sort of saying that what we allowed Sober Living By The Sea, which was if they had old six-or-unders in each half of a duplex, that we would just close our eyes and say, okay, we'll allow that to go as a 12-or-under. And the 12-or-under stays in residential areas where it is totally illegal. It's not an R2 with that anymore. It is the only six-and-under qualifies for the exemption.

1	So my comment is, is this thing is definitely
2	an integral facility, and you have to define all the
3	parts of the integral facility. And they all have to be
4	licensed together. And that's according to state law,
5	and it's very, very clear.
6	And my question is, is why are we talking one
7	little facility that we know is separate when there's
8	five or ten others we know that are going from all these
9	places at all these times? There's no question that this
10	is a massive integral facility, as was Sober Living By
11	The Sea, and that this no longer qualifies. It should be
12	just eradicated.
13	And that's my comments. Thank you.
14	MR. ALLEN: Thank you.
15	MR. CULLEN: Okay. My name is Kevin Cullen
16	C-u-l-l-e-n. I'm the owner/operator for Balboa Recovery
17	and also the Admissions Director for Oceans Recovery.
18	Actually, at Ocean Recovery, I wear a lot of hats over
19	there.
20	I was going speak I have no opinion on this
21	one way or the other. I've been in business for ten
22	years. I've never heard of the Kramer Center, actually,
23	until this whole thing. And I attend most of these just
24	so I can be a better operator. (Unintelligible.)
25	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you and you're

1	going too fast.
2	MR. CULLEN: I apologize. The only reason I'm
3	here is to attend these and to listen to the complaints
4	and concerns of the residents, to try to do a better job.
5	That's it. That's all. Thank you.
6	MR. ALLEN: Well, I guess we've gone around the
7	room, and all the comments are on the record.
8	Would shall we go with staff responding? I'm
9	sorry. I need to look at the agenda. It's set up so
10	staff responds to the public comments or the Applicant
11	first?
12	MS. WOLCOTT: I believe the Applicant responds
13	to the public comments first, and then staff addresses
14	any questions they have for the Applicant and answers any
15	questions from the public at the same time.
16	MR. ALLEN: How is anyone is there anyone
17	that needs to leave, or that we need to shut this down at
18	6 o'clock? We're not in the council chambers now. So
19	may we keep going for awhile then, as far as we're all
20	concerned? All right. Good.
21	So then, Mr. Cooksey, you have an opportunity
22	to respond, if you wish, to any of the comments that were
23	made here.
24	MR. COOKSEY: Well, yeah. I think, from what I
25	hear almost uniformly, everybody's concerned about

secondhand smoke,	and the litter	that some	smokers tend
to leave behind.	It's a diffict	ılt problem	to police, you
know, and I'll gra	ant you that.	But we can	do our utmost
to police that.			

2.1

2.2

I'm not up-to-date on secondhand smoke technology. I envision that we can enforce a rule so as to limit how many people would be smoking on the premises at one time, so you don't have a situation where six or eight or ten people are out there all puffing away creating a forest fire. That's all I can say for that.

And, you know, there's nothing we can do to eliminate the sources of alcohol in the neighborhood.

There's an Albertson's store, I believe, right across the street that carries liquor. There's a liquor store down two blocks or so down Newport Beach Boulevard. And we're not proposing that those people be put out of business.

I mean, it's a problem everywhere in the state. We have liquor stores almost on, you know, every other corner in major metropolitan areas. And I just don't know what you can do about that, except I can tell you this.

We don't believe we've ever had a client, except perhaps one, who fell off the wagon and purchased liquor in the immediate vicinity, and we're not even sure about that. And we've revealed that information or

1	informed the City of that.
2	We're trying to be as candid and as forthcoming
3	as we can with this application for a reasonable
4	accommodation and give the City every bit of information
5	or every solution that we have in order for them so they
6	can evaluate the sincerity of our intention here.
7	MR. ALLEN: Thank you.
8	Staff have anything further?
9	MS. WOLCOTT: Yes.
10	Okay. First of all, to address Mr. Cooksey's
11	response to the alcohol issue, we asked them about this
12	issue early on and how they would address it and how they
13	would be able to control it.
14	And I believe the written response was that the
15	Kramer Center is not aware of any studies that have been
16	done that show that there is any negative impact on
17	persons in recovery from alcoholism by being located in
18	proximity to alcohol, alcoholic beverage outlets.
19	That may be true. We're not of aware of any
20	studies either. However, we aren't aware of any studies
21	that say that it's a good idea to locate them near
22	temptation either. And Federal courts have taken the
23	opposite view of that expressed by the Kramer Center.

that the home stands in a residential neighborhood, away

24

25

In Oxford House v. Edmonds, the court observed

1	from commercial zones, liquor stores, and illicit drug
2	activities to minimize the likelihood of a relapse by a
3	resident.
4	Oxford House v. Town of Babalon, that it is the
5	location of the houses in a drug-free, single-family
6	neighborhood that played a crucial part in that
7	individual's recovery by promoting self-esteem, helping
8	create an incentive not to relapse, and avoiding the
9	temptations that the presence of drug trafficking can
LO	create.
1	They did locate there. They located in this
L2	facility in 2006. I believe that was what they purchased
L3	the home. At the time the home was purchased, the
L4	existing alcoholic beverage outlet's, I believe, nearly
L5	all of them, if not all of them, were already present.
L6	This, to me, is analogous to the Doctrine of
L7	Coming to the Nuisance. You came to the area that was
L8	already established full of liquor stores and bars.
L 9	And well, maybe one liquor store, full of bars. And
20	that is where you chose to establish.
21	So we were looking for the Kramer Center to
22	explain to us what there controls were, and to give an

So we were looking for the Kramer Center to explain to us what there controls were, and to give an explanation of why that shouldn't impact. I think we were looking for something other than, "We're not aware of any studies that say this has an impact." You're

23

24

1	supposed to be the experts in recovery. We were waiting
2	to hear from you. I haven't heard an answer to that
3	concern.
4	Let's see. Going back to some comments for the
5	members of the public.
6	Oh, another one for Mr. Cooksey at the
7	beginning. Todd Kramer's role will be limited to
8	marketing. Staff has some concerns with that. Marketing
9	is one of the areas where the complaints in 2006 and 2007
10	came in to the City. That is the area where they were
11	cited by ADP for advertising as a licensed facility when
12	they were not. And it was not just on their Web site.
13	We received reports of, I believe, on CNN. Local cable
14	TV, they were also advertising.
15	Mr. Kramer advertised himself on the Web site
16	in 2006. He advertised his qualifications as Todd
17	Kramer, Ph.D. And then he ran through the sequence of
18	other degrees he had. And then at the bottom of the
19	paragraph, it said that he's a doctoral candidate at the
20	University of Phoenix at the time.
21	I have concerns about an individual who is that
22	loose in his representation of qualifications in a
23	marketing setting being still in charge of their
24	marketing.

The Web site is the first thing that potential

24

1	clients of the Kramer Center see. It is what first drew
2	I believe, the majority of the girls who were admitted
3	for the facility was licensed back in 2006, or so I was
4	told by the parents who called us at the time.

2.2

If you look at the Kramer Center's Web site today, you will see any number of really beautiful shots of Newport Beach. And the majority of them are in Corona Del Mar or in Newport Coast. In some cases, they appear to be taken behind the gates of Newport Coast. They did have some pictures of the Kramer Center's view from the 338 Via Lido building. They did not have any that looked like 207 28th Street.

And I have some concerns about, you know, if he is -- if Mr. Kramer is still in charge of advertising, this misleading advertising would continue.

Mr. Kramer was also in charge of intake, and was the one who performed the interventions, and brought the girls who were brought to the facility in 2006 on-site. And if he is still in charge of going out and getting new clients for the Kramer Center, the City will not be able to find that to be an acceptable condition.

Moving to public concern about public contact.

Let's see. I had a question for Ms. Oberman. She said that Kramer Center residents come to the beach in front of her house, smoke and drink beer. And I was wondering

1	how you know that they are residents of the Kramer
2	Center?
3	MS. OBERMAN: Because I talked during the
4	years that occurred, I talked to people that were
5	residents in Newport Coast Recovery on a regular basis,
6	and they were regularly socializing with girls on the
7	beach.
8	And they said, "Well, we're friends with we
9	have a friendly relationship with the people from Kramer
10	Center, and they come down here all the time." And they
11	even said that it was against it was against Newport
12	Coast Recovery house rules, and yet and the manager
13	admitted that. And yet, it was allowed to continue.
14	There was open discussion on a regular basis.
15	MS. WOLCOTT: Did you personally view somebody
16	who was a resident of the Kramer Center drinking beer?
17	MS. OBERMAN: Yes. Repeatedly.
18	MS. WOLCOTT: And you knew that that was a
19	resident of the Kramer Center because of that
20	individual had been pointed out as a Kramer Center
21	resident by someone from Newport Coast Recovery?
22	MS. OBERMAN: Correct, by the guys that were
23	with those girls.
24	MS. WOLCOTT: Okay. I think the only other
25	questions I had to address were Mr. Nichols'. He stated

1	that I believe something along the line of the Federal	
2	law does not define or require reasonable accommodation	
3	for houses with less than six.	
4	MR. NICHOLS: Greater than six.	
5	MS. WOLCOTT: Greater than six.	
6	Federal law for reasonable accommodation	
7	applies to a disabled individual or individuals, whether	
8	it's one or 20 or 50. The reasonable accommodation goes	
9	to the handicapped status.	
10	I believe you may be thinking of state law,	
11	which the state law, Health and Safety Code section	
12	11834.23, states that "any licensed facility with six or	
13	fewer residents is treated is subject to the same	
14	controls by any local government as would be applied to a	
15	single family unit." We cannot apply different controls	
16	to a licensed six-or-under. That's different from	
17	reasonable accommodation.	
18	MR. NICHOLS: Isn't it just excuse me.	
19	Isn't it the Federal judge made the decision that	
20	six-or-under did not have to get a California license,	
21	right?	
22	MS. WOLCOTT: No. No, sir.	
23	MR. NICHOLS: They do have to have a California	
24	license, all of them?	
25	MS. WOLCOTT: Whether or not you have to have a	
		70

1	license under the State of California depends on what is
2	going on at the property. If they are giving treatment
3	services, and there's five different types of non-medical
4	treatment that were listed in the Health and Safety Code,
5	if they are giving those, providing those services
6	on-site at the facility, the residential facility then a
7	requires an ADP license.
8	And to answer your second question, because the
9	Kramer Center was licensed to give outpatient treatment
10	at the 338 388 Via Lido facility, ADP did not require
11	them eventually. Although, they did apply for an ADP
12	license at one time.
13	ADP, I guess, has determined that they could
14	provide sober living in the 207 28th facility and get
15	outpatient treatment at the Kramer Center's licensed
16	facility. And that is why ADP has allowed that
17	particular pattern to exist.
18	I believe that is all. I do have another
19	question for Ms. Oberman about when she saw the
20	MR. MATHENA: She left.
21	MS. WOLCOTT: When she comes back, we can ask
22	that question.
23	Kit, do you have any questions?
24	MR. BOBKO: (Nods negatively.)
25	MS. WOLCOTT: Okay.

1	MR. ALLEN: All right. So we are done.
2	Anything, Mr. Cooksey, that you would feel the
3	need to present?
4	MR. COOKSEY: No. I would like to know the
5	answer to the question proposed by Ms. Wolcott has of
6	Mrs. Oberman as to the timing of that observation.
7	MR. ALLEN: All right. I presume Ms. Oberman
8	will be back.
9	MR. MATHENA: She left her jacket here, so
10	yes.
11	MR. ALLEN: One question I had, Ms. Wolcott,
12	you indicated that a Federal investigator or and that
13	may be an incorrect characterization was here recently
14	discussing with you the reasonable accommodation
15	requirements, and that there is the requirement that you
16	attempt to work with Applicant to achieve a restitution
17	of concerns between I did hear you correctly that you
18	are required and I don't know whether this is a legal
19	requirement to work with an Applicant in an effort to
20	achieve a resolution of conflict between you?
21	MS. WOLCOTT: Well, the HUD DOJ position paper
22	says that we should, and they say it very strongly. The
23	HUD investigator, who I spoke with for two and a half
24	hours last week about a number of Fair Housing complaints
25	that have been made, including by the Kramer Center, said

1	that we had a duty to engage in an interactive process
2	with them, and to try to come up with another
3	accommodation that could meet their needs.
4	MR. ALLEN: An interactive relationship with an
5	Applicant?
6	MS. WOLCOTT: Yes, to try to find another
7	accommodation that could meet the needs of the disabled
8	individuals. He didn't specify the house provider.
9	That's to the best of my knowledge, that's never been
10	put in the law. But he said to try to find an
11	accommodation which would enable you to meet the needs of
12	that individual.
13	He said that it was case law and referred to a
14	case. I've looked at that, U.S. Airways, I believe.
15	I've looked at what it says, and I'm not seeing the same
16	thing that he's seeing. We would like to do more
17	research on that issue. Meanwhile, I've been following
18	that procedure all along just as best practices
19	MR. ALLEN: In other words
20	MS. WOLCOTT: with this and other
21	Applicants.
22	MR. ALLEN: you've been undertaking that
23	without any requirement directly imposed on you?
24	MS. WOLCOTT: Correct, with this and other
25	applicants in the past.

1	MR. COOKSEY: And I will affirm that that is
2	true. And she has Ms. Wolcott has been very good
3	about trying to work through these problems, and I
4	appreciate her attitude in that regard.
5	MR. ALLEN: Good, thank you.
6	You indicated that Mr. Kiff would appreciate
7	the opportunity to present additional information with
8	respect or his position with respect to this application
9	before a decision is made?
10	MS. WOLCOTT: Yes. I don't have authority to
11	say that the conditions that Applicant has proposed would
12	be acceptable to staff. That's Mr. Kiff's purview.
13	MR. ALLEN: All right.
14	MS. WOLCOTT: I would say we appreciate
15	Mr. Cooksey's offer to serve as a liaison with the
16	Applicant; however, it was the feeling of staff and
17	outside Counsel also that, while it was a generous offer,
18	it was not what we were looking for to control the
19	operations that are going on. And there was some
20	question whether they would be able to control their
21	client either.
22	MR. ALLEN: Okay. Thank you.
23	I had called Ms. Wolcott and Ms. Brown with
24	respect to the legal concerns regarding the burden of
25	going forward with presenting evidence primarily on the

1	issue of necessity. That seems such a compelling	
2	ingredient in this reasonable accommodation	
3	determination.	
4	MR. BOBKO: Mr. Allen?	
5	MR. ALLEN: Yes.	
6	MR. BOBKO: Excuse me for a moment. I think	
7	it's important for the record to clarify that your phone	
8	call with the City attorney was succinct and not	
9	substantial, and that she immediately referred you to	
10	City staff; that that wasn't, in fact, an ex-parte	
11	communication with this City.	
12	MR. ALLEN: That's exactly correct. We do not	
13	have any extended conversation. We immediately diverted	
14	the call, and I called Ms. Brown back and didn't further	
15	communicate with Ms. Wolcott. That's correct.	
16	But I did ask Ms. Brown to please go forward	
17	with the concept of assistance to me, and information to	
18	Mr. Cooksey as well, with respect to the burden of proof	
19	with respect to making a determination as to the	
20	availability of accommodations in the City.	
21	The staff reports in these matters recently	
22	have all referenced good information that was done by the	
23	City with respect to 2007. I thought it might be	
24	desirable, if the information was available, to update	
25	that information. And, furthermore, to make the	
		75

1	determination as to where the burdens lie with respect to
2	demonstrating who, in fact, is responsible for showing
3	the factors that come together for necessity.
4	And several of them are readily available,
5	based on the applications. But the information about
6	what is, in fact, out there in the community is quite
7	different. And that is, after all that goes to the
8	very heart of what this whole business is that we're
9	working on to attempt to achieve, reasonable
10	accommodation but not overconcentrations, and filling the
11	needs of the community, but not necessarily putting more
12	than are necessary to reach to meet the needs of the
13	community.
14	So anyway, does that sufficiently describe what
15	I was attempting to say, Ms. Brown?
16	MS. BROWN: Yes, sir.
17	MR. ALLEN: And Mr. Cooksey, if you wish to
18	make input into this, I invite that. Because I think
19	you're probably seeing the issues here that we face.
20	And I see, although I don't have sufficient
21	legal research done to know, that there's financial
22	information that clearly the City cannot supply to assist
23	in making determinations. And several of the findings
24	here that do relate to the financial issues of the

Applicant means that the Applicant carries the burden of

1	demonstrating the financial needs that its seeking to
2	avail itself of. And so in any event, I welcome that in
3	any presentation that you give.
4	And so with that in mind, what amount of time
5	would be appropriate for us to continue this matter?
6	And, frankly, I am impressed with the City's analysis and
7	determinations here, but the six or 12 is compelling with
8	respect to necessity.
9	And so I'd like to withhold determination on
10	that, while placing on the record the observation that
11	the City work that's been done on this application is
12	sound, it seems to me, and deserves approval. But the
13	six or 12 is still an issue that's really open in my
14	mind.
15	MS. BROWN: So, Mr. Allen, if I may, just make
16	sure that I understand it, you're directing us to prepare
17	stated information on the availability of facilities in
18	the City, number of beds that are available.
19	Also you've stated that the burden with regards
20	to financial viability lies with Applicant. And so
21	you're directing the Applicant to provide additional
22	information?
23	MR. ALLEN: Well, I wasn't doing that as
24	such.
25	MR. BOBKO: Mr. Allen, if I may? I have a

1	suggestion if you let me chimed in.
2	What I think I've heard you say, and how I
3	think this will be best handled, is if staff actually did
4	the objective research about the number of beds and such.
5	Because, truly, they are the only ones who have that
6	information. There's no reasonable way that the
7	Applicant could be familiar with that information.
8	Having said that, then, what I would propose is
9	that we put a date we suggest a date. And I think the
10	20th of May might be the best date for that. And we
11	allow each, the City and the Applicant, if they'd like,
12	to submit a supplemental brief to you. And for our own
13	sanity, let's say not more than 10 pages, or something,
14	something like that.
15	MR. ALLEN: Sure.
16	MR. BOBKO: And then at the hearing, we can
17	make our various presentations if the briefing isn't
18	enough.
19	MR. COOKSEY: The documentation that would go
20	with the briefing would probably be more than 10 pages.
21	I haven't seen the financial records.
22	MS. WOLCOTT: We would like it to be, yes.
23	MR. BOBKO: Of course. I simply mean the
24	briefing on our like a motion for summary judgment can
25	only be 20 pages, same kind of thing.

1	MR. COOKSEY: Right.
2	MR. BOBKO: And if there's exhibits and whatnot
3	that are attached to that, you can certainly attach
4	those.
5	MR. COOKSEY: Okay.
6	MR. BOBKO: But that will give your client a
7	chance to locate documents that you think are germane,
8	give us a little time to digest them, and then we won't
9	overburden you with too much paper.
10	MR. ALLEN: That raises a question of whether
11	there is a need to establish any deadlines for
12	presentation of the information, or whether you believe
13	you can work that out between the Applicant and
14	yourselves without some order from the Hearing Officer
15	for a time to submit.
16	MR. COOKSEY: May I inquire? Is there any
17	problem with financial documents remaining confidential,
18	or are they going to be a matter of public record?
19	MR. BOBKO: The City I think there's
20	probably a business record type privilege here, so
21	MS. WOLCOTT: There is.
22	MR. BOBKO: we would with the Hearing
23	Officer's approval, we would stipulate that they would
24	keep those documents confidential for use only between
25	the City and staff.

1	MS. WOLCOTT: If documents are required to be
2	submitted, financial documents, for a permit, it's in our
3	Code that we keep those confidential. And the Hearing
4	Officer can review them in camera. And that's because of
5	trade secrets, business unfair competition issue.
6	MR. COOKSEY: Right. That would be agreeable
7	with us, the confidentiality.
8	MR. BOBKO: And then there may also be some
9	issues that perhaps could even be we might need to
10	have a declaration that is submitted under seal if we
11	need to refer to numbers in our brief, so that those
12	numbers don't become public record.
13	Because we might, you know, keep the documents
14	confidential, and then in our briefing, if we need to
15	refer to something, that's still confidential. So if
16	that comes about, we will keep in mind, if the Hearing
17	Officer is willing, to take the thing under seal.
18	MR. ALLEN: Yes. So you're looking at May the
19	20th for the continuance date; correct?
20	MR. COOKSEY: Correct.
21	MR. ALLEN: So could we establish a deadline
22	MR. BOBKO: Is Monday before, okay?
23	MS. WOLCOTT: For submission of financial
24	information to us?
25	MR. BOBKO: No, no, for briefing.

1	MR. ALLEN: I'm involving in a hearing all day
2	the 19th. And so, I mean, if they were there on Monday
3	morning, that would be great. But Monday evening, I
4	wouldn't have time to even barely reading them before.
5	MR. BOBKO: Friday the 15th?
6	MR. ALLEN: That would be very acceptable.
7	MR. COOKSEY: We have not, previous to this,
8	delivered anything directly to the Hearing Officer.
9	MR. BOBKO: He's going to have his own brief.
10	MS. WOLCOTT: Oh, your brief, yeah.
11	MR. COOKSEY: How do we go about submitting to
12	you directly? Obviously, the City attorney is going to
13	get a copy, but how would you
14	MR. ALLEN: I am located very close to City
15	Hall. If when you bring or deliver, whatever means
16	you use to bring that to City Hall, if the administrator
17	from the City Attorney's Office, or Ms. Brown's office
18	can notify me, I can get it just immediately virtually,
19	so
20	MS. WOLCOTT: Why don't you leave it with the
21	City clerk.
22	MR. COOKSEY: I'll leave it with your office.
23	The City clerk is not going know what it is.
24	MS. WOLCOTT: We'll leave it with the City
25	clerk.

1	MS. BROWN: The City clerk's office.
2	MS. WOLCOTT: If it's under seal to the Hearing
3	Officer, you can leave it with the City clerk.
4	MR. BOBKO: Hang on.
5	MR. COOKSEY: It's going to be due, what, the
6	15th?
7	MR. ALLEN: 15th, please.
8	MS. WOLCOTT: Okay. We'll take it. Taking the
9	advice from outside Counsel, you can deal with our
10	office.
11	MR. COOKSEY: It may be that I'll just e-mail
12	it to you with attachments.
13	MR. BOBKO: You can probably send it to
14	Mr. Allen by e-mail as well.
15	MR. ALLEN: That's best, absolutely.
16	With respect to the work that Mr. Kiff is able
17	to do inventory-wise, is he actually able to accomplish
18	that in that period of time?
19	MR. BOBKO: I don't know.
20	MR. ALLEN: It sounds like a rather significant
21	task to me, but it's because I don't understand the
22	processes.
23	MS. WOLCOTT: We're always fine with the longer
24	continuance, given the number of hearings that we have
25	coming up back to back.
	1

1	MR. ALLEN: I'm assuming you'd have involvement
2	in this, too, but I don't know that.
3	MR. BOBKO: Okay. Mr. Hearing Officer,
4	perhaps, or your Honor, happens it would be best if we
5	put it out a little further than that. Mr. Cooksey, if
6	that's acceptable, we can come back with another date,
7	if
8	MR. ALLEN: I see this information as being
9	significant and probably used in subsequent hearings, not
10	just one, and you don't want to be
11	MS. WOLCOTT: Rushed.
12	MR. ALLEN: Yes. I don't have enough
13	information available.
14	MR. BOBKO: Why don't we talk off the record
15	and get a date.
16	MR. ALLEN: Off the record.
17	(Pause in proceeding.)
18	MR. ALLEN: On the record.
19	So this matter will then be continued to June
20	the 3rd, at 4 p.m., I guess, and the briefs that have
21	been discussed will be submitted by close of business on
22	the 29th and transmitted to the Hearing Officer on the
23	29th, I trust. Thank you.
24	MR. COOKSEY: Ms. Reporter, are we adjourned
25	now?

1	MR. ALLEN: We are still on the record at the
2	present time.
3	Is there anything else?
4	All right. Then let's close the hearing and
5	continue it until June the 3rd. Thank you very much.
6	(Ending time: 6:25 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2 3 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That prior foregoing proceedings were taken 6 before me at the time and place herein set forth; that 7 any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to 8 testifying, were placed under oath; that a verbatim 9 record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 11 direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate 12 transcription thereof. 13 I further certify that I am neither financially 14 interested in the action nor a relative or employee of 15 any attorney of any of the parties. 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed 17 my name. 18 Dated: MAY 1 2 2009 19 2.0 21 22 CSR No. 9266 23 24 25

7	22:14,19	action 85:15	71:13,16	40:8 58:20
A	22:14,19	activities	advances	58:23,25
<b>abate</b> 23:9	24:17	38:24 43:5	40:16	59:13
abated 18:22	26:14,16	66:2	adverse	64:12
abatement	26:14,10	activity	29:21	65:11,18
18:22 41:3	26:25 27:1	48:11	adversely	alcoholic
ability 12:1	27:8,19,20	52:20	31:17	31:18
14:21	28:16	additional	advertised	34:21
25:16,17	30:12,13	74:7 77:21	67:15,16	50:12
38:17	30:12,13	address 5:13	advertising	65:18
47:10	30:17,19	32:19	8:9 67:11	66:14
<b>able</b> 34:8	33:13,13	35:19	67:14	li .
38:21 43:9	33:13,13	38:14 39:4	i	alcoholism
65:13	35:21 34:7	51:10	68:14,15	65:17
68:21	36:18,23	65:10,12	advice 82:9 affirm 74:1	<b>Allen</b> 1:9
74:20	37:23,23	69:25	affirmative	4:4,6 5:11
82:16,17	38:239:13	addresses	1	26:1 38:6
absolutely	48:2,15	63:13	10:13 15:15 17:1	41:19 42:3
5:10 54:3	51:2 53:21	adequate	15:15 17:1	42:9,11,18
60:23	54:1 60:10	39:6 45:15	affirmat	42:21 43:7
82:15	65:4 70:2	1		43:25 44:3
accept 53:19	1	adjacent 59:8	22:14	44:7,10,14
acceptable	70:6,8,17	1	afford 10:16	45:14,19
43:9 47:16	72:14 73:3	adjourned	15:13,23	46:3,17
68:21	73:7,11 75:2 76:10	83:24	21:21	47:5,19
74:12 81:6	accommod	administ	afternoon 7:12	48:16,20
83:6	i .	8:7 10:24	į	62:14 63:6
access 50:4	15:11,12	16:12 27:4 27:15	age 40:6	63:16 65:7
accident	17:14,25 36:5 75:20	31:14	agencies 8:6	72:1,7,11
32:2		32:23	<b>agenda</b> 6:3,7	73:4,19,22
accommodate	accomplish 39:10	38:23	63:9	74:5,13,22
13:3,7	82:17		ago 40:17	75:4,5,12
37:1 53:17		administ	52:8	76:17
accommod	accurate	11:21 12:7	agree 56:2	77:15,23
4:6 6:5,11	85:12	81:16	agreeable	77:25
9:18,20	achieve	administ	43:16 80:6	78:15
10:8,14,15	10:23	11:20	<b>agreed</b> 14:7	79:10
10:19 11:3	12:13 16:22 17:7	administ	26:4	80:18,21
11:5,9,10	22:8 28:20	12:2	<b>Agreement</b> 46:20 47:4	81:1,6,14
12:2,8,25	i	Admissions		82:7,14,15
14:19 15:7	53:20	62:17	air 40:14	82:20 83:1
15:22	72:16,20	admitted	Airways	83:8,12,16
17:13,18	76:9	68:2 69:13	73:14	83:18 84:1
17:20	achieved	adopted 8:18	albeit 56:7	alleviation
18:14,24	29:9,20	11:9	Albertson's	19:18
19:8,9,14	acre 29:3	ADP 13:20	40:24	allow 9:21
19:15,17	act 15:9,16	33:9,11	64:13	18:14
21:24,25	43:18 53:3	67:11 71:7	alcohol 8:5	21:11
22:4,4,7	54:13,24	71:10,11	16:4 34:16	61:21

	•	*		
78:11	16:16	32:17 33:7	appointed	ashtray
allowed	analyzed	33:10,25	4:7	40:14,15
28:11	23:1	34:4,7,20	appointment	ashtrays
34:13 50:7	analyzing	34:23 35:5	12:6	49:22
61:18	26:6 36:22	35:11	appreciate	asked 28:8
69:13	38:25	36:14 38:7	74:4,6,14	28:11
71:16	Angeles 3:5	54:1,2	approach	65:11
allowing	announce	58:8,11	26:6	asking 23:25
28:17	4:18	63:10,12	appropriate	28:6 46:7
allows 22:12	announce	63:14	14:2,10	<b>aspect</b> 57:25
30:16	4:13 5:14	72:16,19	20:9 24:11	59:5
52:13	answer 6:25	73:5 74:11	26:5 28:1	assessing
<b>alter</b> 26:14	21:24 27:5	74:16	29:13	19:13
alteration	44:12 67:2	76:25,25	30:14	assist 76:22
10:21 13:9	71:8 72:5	77:20,21	31:22	assistance
16:18,19	answers	78:7,11	34:15,24	13:19
16:20 28:4	63:14	79:13	45:23 77:5	75:17
alternate	anticipate	applicants	appropri	Assistant
17:14 27:8	43:14	19:19 36:3	54:13	3:9 12:21
31:7	<b>Anton</b> 3:14	73:21,25	approval	assistants
alternation	anybody 16:8	Applicant's	10:5 77:12	56:16
12:9	47:10,16	6:13,14	79:23	Associate
alternative	50:22	21:15 23:4	approve 7:5	3:8
11:8,10	52:12	25:5,6	11:1,2	assuming
17:18	anymore	31:21 35:5	approxim	83:1
21:12	61:24	37:24	31:17	assured 57:4
25:23	anyway 5:21	53:16	April 1:11	<b>attach</b> 79:3
Alzheimer	34:1 76:14	57:19	2:10 4:1,8	attached
24:7	APA 37:4	58:19	area 29:15	79:3
amount 24:10	apart 43:10	application	30:5 32:14	attachments
24:11 77:4	apologize	8:20 9:16	41:22	82:12
<b>Ana</b> 48:12	63:2	9:17 11:2	59:15	attempt
50:3	appear 68:8	12:25	66:17	53:20
analogous	APPEARANCES	14:12 65:3	67:10	72:16 76:9
66:16	3:1	74:8 77:11	areas 28:22	attempting
analysis	applicable	applicat	29:18	76:15
6:12 16:1	9:6	5:2 36:1	31:11	attend 62:23
16:15	applicant	76:5	57:24	63:3
17:17	6:22,24	<b>applied</b> 8:19	60:16,16	attendants
18:13	7:2,2,22	70:14	61:23	46:1
19:22	9:14,15,17	applies 70:7	64:19 67:9	attending
21:20 22:1	9:19 10:16	apply 8:14	arguably	5:16
22:16 24:4	14:13 16:2	29:13 53:6	14:12 45:1	attitude
31:2 35:16	17:2,25	70:15	argue 54:13	74:4
44:22	18:19	71:11	arrangement	attorney 3:8
53:15,25	23:11,14	applying	43:15	4:15 41:7
77:6	23:17,20	33:8 37:4	ashamed	42:5 43:24
analyze	27:24 32:7	53:11,12	54:15	44:5 54:25

r				
57:19	82:25,25	57:17	32:11 33:5	80:22,25
58:19 75:8	83:6	64:15 68:7	beneficial	81:5,9
81:12	background	68:24 69:7	25:2	82:4,13,19
85:16	6:10 7:14	Beach's 4:5	benefit	83:3,14
Attorney's	15:6,8	beautiful	19:16	<b>bottom</b> 67:18
12:19	48:1	68:6	22:16	Boulevard
46:10	backing	bed 31:24	24:14	2:8 3:9,14
81:17	61:17	35:20	benefits	64:15
audit 46:11	backyard	37:18	23:16	brief 6:9
authority	50:22	39:19,21	Berner 13:14	7:14 78:12
21:11	<b>bad</b> 49:11,15	bedrooms	14:10,23	80:11 81:9
74:10	49:15	11:14	38:19	81:10
authorized	51:22	<b>beds</b> 26:3	Berner's	briefing
11:1	<b>Balboa</b> 4:20	41:6 46:6	13:19	23:20
<b>avail</b> 77:2	4:22,23	46:11,21	best 52:2,4	78:17,20
availabi	62:16	77:18 78:4	73:9,18	78:24
23:22	Barbara	<b>beer</b> 50:22	78:3,10	80:14,25
41:21	47:21	55:21	82:15 83:4	briefly 15:8
75:20	barely 81:4	68:25	<b>better</b> 54:6	53:1
77:17	<b>bars</b> 50:14	69:16	62:24 63:4	<b>briefs</b> 83:20
available	58:22	beginning	beverage	<b>bring</b> 50:9
25:25 26:3	66:18,19	2:9 12:22	31:18	50:22
46:6,12	<b>based</b> 11:3	67:7	34:16	57:22
53:14	20:19	<b>behalf</b> 2:7	65:18	81:15,16
75:24 76:4	34:18	4:15 10:14	66:14	brought
77:18	53:16 76:5	15:19	beverages	68:17,18
83:13	<b>basic</b> 10:22	behavior	34:21	Brown 3:8
Avenue 3:5	12:12	18:10	<b>beyond</b> 54 : 8	6:8 7:7,12
average 33:4	16:21	32:22	bikes 55:23	7:13 15:10
36:6,7,12	28:14,19	believe	Bill 4:17	46:9 74:23
avoid 41:2	28:19	14:22	bit 24:3	75:14,16
avoiding	42:15	23:24 24:7	51:19 61:9	76:15,16
30:4 66:8	basis 45:11	31:8 32:13	65:4	77:15 82:1
aware 26:12	55:20 69:5	34:23		Brown's
33:7 45:3	69:14	36:10	54:5	81:17
46:2,15	baton 7:7	46:21,22	blocks 13:21	<b>Bryant</b> 24:5
65:15,19	beach 1:8,10	47:3 50:3	42:7 64:15	25:7
65:20	2:8,9 3:3	50:10	<b>blow</b> 48:12	building
66:24	3:7,10,12	63:12	59:8	11:15
<b>awhile</b> 63:19	4:1 6:4	64:13,22	blowing	13:12 18:8
a.m32:3,5	7:21 9:7	65:14	48:12,13	19:21,25
В	21:9,10,16 31:10	66:12,14	<b>blue</b> 49:13	20:3,4,10
Babalon 66:4	33:17	67:13 68:2 70:1,10	BOBKO 3:4 71:24 75:4	20:15,17
back 20:21	42:24	71:18	75:6 77:25	20:23 21:5
26:8 48:4	47:22	73:14	78:16,23	25:9,12,13 31:24 33:2
67:4 68:3	50:15	79:12	79:2,6,19	41:9 45:5
71:21 72:8	55:17	believes	79:2,6,19	68:11
75:14	JJ. ± /	Detteres	19.22 00:0	00:11
		}	i '	
, 3 , 2 1		:		

<b>built</b> 60:17	candor 42:15	62:22	68:14,16	43:10,19
burden 10:24	cap 31:24	65:15,23	68:19	43:20 44:5
14:2 16:12	capacity	66:21 68:1	children	45:2 46:6
24:16 27:4	13:16	68:20,24	48:9 52:6	46:9 53:3
27:15	38:20 45:7	69:2,10,16	chimed 78:1	53:20 54:9
31:14	card 49:14	69:19,20	choice 13:5	54:10 55:7
53:25	care 8:21	71:9 72:25	22:19	56:2,11
74:24	10:2 12:14	Center's	choose 47:11	57:4,7
75:18	21:18 28:9	6:11 7:19	chose 66:20	58:10 60:5
76:25	36:8 39:3	13:13 68:5	cigarette	60:6,19
77:19	56:4,9,19	68:10	40:14 49:7	65:1,4
burdens 76:1	56:20,21	71:15	49:24	67:10
business	56:22,23	certain 7:6	51:22	68:20 75:8
42:14	carries	15:18	55:22	75:10,11
62:21	64:14	43:21	cigarettes	75:20,23
64:16 76:8	76:25	56:13	40:8	76:22
79:20 80:5	carry 5:21	certainly	Circuit 22:9	77:11,18
83:21	24:16	40:25 48:7	circumst	78:11
businesses	case 13:1	54:18 59:4	15:18 39:7	79:19,25
40:24	18:16 22:9	79:3	45:24	81:12,14
busy 48:4	24:5,20	Certified	citations	81:16,17
<b>butts</b> 49:8	31:2 36:4	2:11 85:4	8:7	81:21,23
49:23,24	37:5 73:13	certify 85:5	cited 67:11	81:24 82:1
51:22	73:14	85:14	cities 10:19	82:3
55:22	cases 36:2,3	chain 33:18	15:17	City's 10:8
	68:8	challenged	city 2:8 3:3	10:13,21
С	Cassidy's	14:24	3:7,8,9	12:9 13:1
CA3:5,10,14	50:11	chambers	4:7,10,15	16:13 28:4
<b>cable</b> 67:13	catches 59:4	4:10,22	4:21 6:24	53:2 77:6
California	categories	63:18	6:24 7:20	clarific
1:10 2:9	23:2	chance 24:1	8:11,15,18	4:25
4:1 19:21	category	79:7	10:1,24	clarify 6:22
19:25 33:2	18:17	change 12:5	12:15,18	22:3 45:10
60:2,4,7	Catherine	16:13	12:21,24	75:7
70:20,23	3:8 4:14	29:25 37:2	14:6 15:15	classifi
71:1 85:5	12:18	changes	16:12,14	20:2
call 20:5,5	caution	38:17	16:24	classified
50:24 52:1	27:22	Chapter 6:10	21:11	10:1
75:8,14	cell 4:11	character	22:10	classify
<b>called</b> 24:5	Center 1:8	26:14	23:19	20:5
46:3 49:9	3:12 4:5	29:25 37:2	25:21,25	<b>clear</b> 13:10
50:24 68:4	6:1,3 7:14	characte	26:1,3	32:13,25
74:23	8:6,7,13	11:19 12:6	31:10 36:4	53:22 62:5
75:14	8:19 10:1	20:20	37:12,16	cleared 8:12
camera 80:4	20:22	29:11	38:22 39:8	clearly
candid 65:2	38:14,20	characte	39:8,12	53:10
candidate	39:4 42:24	72:13	40:1 41:7	57:12
67:19	43:19 56:7	<b>charge</b> 67:23	41:16 42:5	76:22
			1	1

		***************************************		
clerk 3:9	20:6 37:23	compatibly	27:9,14,16	36:19 56:3
81:21,23	50:8 51:19	29:14	33:9 37:15	consider
81:25 82:3	55:17	compelling	37:17	36:2
clerk's 82:1	68:24	75:1 77:7	51:17	consider
<b>client</b> 31:15	69:10 73:2	competition	68:21	25:14
31:15 33:4	76:3 83:6	80:5	conditio	considered
44:9 64:22	comes 52:6	complaints	9:24 11:2	5:1 16:10
74:21 79:6	53:9 71:21	63:3 67:9	conditions	17:1 29:3
clients 7:25	80:16	72:24	14:18	60:14
31:24 36:9	comfort	complete 5:7	29:12 30:6	considering
36:10	38:22	31:6 44:10	30:14,17	12:24
39:17 43:2	comfortable	completed	31:3,7,13	22:13
55:2 56:5	39:8	19:2	31:23	36:22
57:2,3	comical	completely	33:16	consiste
68:1,20	58:19	52:19	74:11	57:6
clock 47:11	coming 26:8	compliance	<b>condo</b> 58 : 5	construct
close 6:21	66:17	11:23	<b>condos</b> 58:3	25:8
42:1 58:23	82:25	12:15 33:1	conducted	construc
59:3,16	comment 40:3	35:3 56:15	8:24	20:18
61:21	47:7 51:14	<b>comply</b> 34:9	conducting	construc
81:14	57:18 62:1	35:5	45:7	55:4
83:21 84:4	comments	concept	conference	contact
cluster 42:4	6:22 39:20	44:19	4:9,21	32:19
<b>CNN</b> 67:13	41:20 51:9	75:17	39:5	43:21
Coast 55:19	57:19	concern 12:1	confiden	68:22
68:8,9	62:13 63:7	31:11	79:17,24	context 13:8
69:5,12,21	63:10,13	32:10	80:3,14,15	44:18
code 8:10	63:22 67:4	41:20 43:8	confiden	continuance
9:7,21,23	commercial	52:12 59:1	80:7	5:14 9:14
11:1 14:16	40:22	67:3 68:22	confirm	24:1 26:8
16:5,8,20	58:14 59:9	concerned	46:25	80:19
18:3 19:21	66:1	49:16	confirming	82:24
19:25 20:2	committed	63:20,25	5:4	continue 7:6
20:3,10	34:25	concerns	conflict	8:20 19:1
21:17	communicate	14:20 15:3	72:20	28:18
22:12 28:12,24	75:15 communic	15:3 31:12	conform	39:23
29:20 33:2	75:11	31:13,14 32:20 35:2	33:16 conformed	45:24 54:25
33:17	community	32:20 35:2 41:8 47:24	9:6	54:25 68:15
34:19,22	13:5,7	52:17 58:3	Connecticut	69:13 77:5
70:11 71:4	23:23	63:4 67:8	42:19	84:5
80:3	26:10	67:21	conscien	continued
collection	27:23	68:13	56:12,12	4:23 9:21
37:11,12	44:24 57:3	72:17	consent 44:9	4:23 9:21
college 40:5	76:6,11,13	74:24	consider	83:19
combined	company 50:8	concludes	22:12	continuing
39:20	comparable	12:17	32:18 34:1	4:18
come 19:22	36:10	condition	34:13,19	control

30:20 32:1	73:24	36:19 37:3	day 81:1	demonstr
32:9 34:25	75:12,15	48:10	day-to-day	76:2 77:1
65:13	80:19,20	58:15 59:5	38:18,24	denied 22:18
74:18,20	correctly	66:8,10	43:4	density
controlled	72:17	creating	deadline	28:25 29:4
37:7	correspo	64:10	80:21	29:5,9
controls	43:18	criteria	deadlines	<b>deny</b> 7:5 9:3
20:7 29:12	cost 41:10	44:22	79:11	11:2
29:14	41:12	crucial 66:6	<b>deal</b> 5:22	denying
30:18	Costa 3:14	CSR 85:23	82:9	27:20
66:22	38:13 48:5	<b>Cullen</b> 62:15	dealing	<b>Denys</b> 55:14
70:14,15	council 4:10	62:15 63:2	38:24 39:6	department
conversa	4:21 8:18	current 8:10	December	4:9 6:9
44:1 75:13	59:25	11:20 12:2	7:21 8:24	7:13 8:2,4
Cooksey 3:13	63:18	18:16,21	<b>decide</b> 53:7	8:5 13:2
3:13 38:9	Councilman	18:23,23	decision	17:10,21
38:12	54:11	18:25 23:3	34:18	29:4 46:3
41:23 42:4	Counsel	23:6,7,8	70:19 74:9	depending
42:13,19	23:19	25:14	declaration	28:25
42:23,25	74:17 82:9	currently	80:10	depends 71:1
43:17 44:2	counseling	18:21 28:9	define 60:11	Deputy 3:8
44:4,8,12	39:2 56:8	46:12	60:12 62:2	4:15 56:25
55:4,8,11	counselors	C-u-l-l-e-n	70:2	describe
59:11	56:6	62:16	defined 16:6	36:15
63:21,24	count 35:20	D	defines	76:14
67:6 72:2	37:18		19:25	described
72:4 74:1	39:19,21	<b>daily</b> 36:6,8	60:10 61:1	10:22
75:18	39:21	1	definitely	16:18,21
76:17	counted	damage 59:5	62:1	describes
78:19 79:1 79:5,16	50:13	dancing	definition	19:25
80:6,20	County 24:6 24:10	53:21	60:14 degrees	deserves
1	couple 51:9	data 41:14	67:18	77:12
81:7,11,22 82:5,11	54:8 57:18	54:2	1	desirable
83:5,24	course 43:5	<b>date</b> 7:6	Del 68:8 deliver	75:24 <b>details</b> 8:25
Cooksey's	78:23	37:8 45:20	81:15	detectable
65:10	court 6:19	78:9,9,10	delivered	32:6 35:1
74:15	24:12,19	80:19 83:6	81:8	determin
copy 81:13	25:4 42:24	83:15	deliveries	7:5 75:3
corner 64:19	45:21	85:17	37:11	75:19 76:1
Corona 68:7	65:24	Dated 85:20	demonstrate	77:9
corporation	courtesy	daughter	39:25	determin
42:13,16	5:15	58:7	53:25	76:23 77:7
58:12	courts 19:12	Dave 4:16,16	56:13,19	determined
correct	65:22	7:10 12:21	57:9	24:11
42:23,25	covers 59:23	15:1	demonstr	27:13
56:10	create 26:21	David3:13	7:23 9:10	71:13
69:22	27:15	38:12	32:22 57:5	determining
		42:16		

F				
34:14	12:15	24:9	39:14,15	emphasize
Development	15:20,23	disturbing	39:21 45:5	21:7
13:3 17:11	16:6 18:4	58:20 59:2	46:16	employed
17:22	18:14 19:7	diverted	61:11,13	11:22
46:20 47:4	20:14,23	75:13	61:20	employee
Dick 59:24	21:21,23	Division	duplexes 6:4	54:18
different	22:17	14:17	20:12 46:8	85:15
5:13 16:7	24:15,18	doctoral	46:23 47:1	<b>enable</b> 29:14
20:2,7,8	25:11 30:4	67:19	59:19	73:11
21:4 35:16	30:25 31:1	Doctrine	<b>duty</b> 10:13	endorse 57:8
39:14 48:8	31:8,16	66:16	13:1 15:15	enforce 12:1
52:19	53:7 70:7	document	17:1,4,23	14:6,18,21
70:15,16	73:7	78:19	73:1	27:15
71:3 76:7	disburse	documents	dwelling	37:14,16
differently	40:25	58:15 79:7	10:11,17	60:5 64:6
37:10	disbursed	79:17,24	15:14,24	enforceable
difficult	47:1,2	80:1,2,13	18:7,15	31:13
6:19 64:2	discharge	<b>doing</b> 6:10	19:8 21:22	enforced
difficulty	44:6	77:23	22:24	21:9
16:1	discharged	<b>DOJ</b> 72:21		Enforcement
digest 79:8	44:5 55:5	<b>door</b> 51:18	***************************************	14:16
diligence	discrimi	<b>double</b> 36:12	earlier	enforcing
56:20	15:10	<b>draft</b> 21:10	21:15 23:3	60:7
direct17:9	discuss	<b>drew</b> 57:16	46:2	engage 17:1
19:9,16	16:23	68:1	<b>early</b> 65:12	43:25 73:1
directing	17:14	drifts 59:7	<b>easily</b> 53:13	engaged
23:25	discussed	<b>drink</b> 68:25	<b>east</b> 40:20	17:24
77:16,21	21:15 23:6	drinking	<b>easy</b> 58:14	engaging
direction	25:15	50:18,20	<b>eat</b> 39:17	43:11
24:3 48:13	37:14	55:21	Edmonds	<b>engulf</b> 59:4
59:6 85:12	83:21	69:16	22:10	enhance
directly	discusses	driver 48:4	65:24	22:14
73:23 81:8	22:10	<b>drug</b> 8:5	effect 41:13	<b>enjoy</b> 10:10
81:12	discussing	58:4,7	53:9	10:17
Director	33:3 44:18	59:21 66:1	effort 13:3	15:14,24
62:17	44:19	66:9	41:2 72:19	21:22
directs 26:7	72:14	drugs 16:4	eight 21:3	22:18,24
dirty 50:1	discussion	59:13	24:8,13	ensure 11:22
disability	19:21	drug-free	51:20 64:9	12:13
10:10 16:6	69:14	66:5	either 16:4	29:19
22:15	discussions	<b>due</b> 26:22	16:11	enter 56:9
disabili	41:6	82:5	27:18 29:6	entire 11:15
17:15,16	distance	DUFFY 3:13	51:14	13:11 18:6
19:18	14:3 58:23	duplex 7:16	57:24 60:2	18:7 39:21
disable 53:4	distressed	11:15,15	65:20,22	entitled
disabled	58:16	13:12	74:21 eliminate	25:8 56:23
10:7,7,15	<b>district</b> 9:25 10:5	25:17,20	64:12	entitlement
10:16	J:∠5 1U:5	36:6,13	04:12	30:23
			:	

42:22	65:6	5:20	7:21 8:9	factors
environment	evening 81:3	experience	8:11,13,15	22:13
22:9 25:25	event 45:25	49:24	8:21 9:10	24:19
26:11,22	77:2	51:11,12	9:22 10:2	26:13
30:8,9	eventually	experienced	11:11,19	34:13
31:10 33:6	71:11	11:21	11:23	36:19 76:3
envision	everybody	experts 67:1	13:20,25	<b>failed</b> 24:24
14:1 64:6	16:3 50:4	explain 34:8	14:11,13	25:1
equal 10:10	52:6	34:20	16:4,7	failure 8:13
10:16	everybody's	66:22	18:22	Fair 10:6,12
15:14,23	63:25	explanation	19:14	15:9,16
19:10,10	Everyone's	31:21	20:13,16	53:3 72:24
19:13	4:11	66:23	20:24 22:2	fairly 57:5
22:18	evidence	express	22:6 24:8	<b>falls</b> 5:10
24:18 50:4	23:12,14	26:19	24:9,13,14	<b>false</b> 27:11
equally 30:2	24:24 31:6	expressed	25:20 31:4	familiar
equivalent	74:25	23:17	31:19	52:8 78:7
21:13	exactly 54:5	65:23	32:11,13	families
53:13	75:12	extended	33:12	60:10
54:11	example	75:13	34:15 36:8	<b>family</b> 20:11
eradicated	34:12	extent 6:25	37:6,19,20	36:7 39:15
62:12	59:16	extreme	39:16	48:8 60:11
especially	exception	15:25 25:6	42:22 43:3	60:12,14
40:7	8:14 15:22	extremely	47:23 48:1	70:15
<b>ESQ</b> 1:9 3:4	exceptions	51:4	48:5,6	fans 49:22
3:13	15:17	ex-parte	49:3,14	<b>far</b> 57:24
essay 22:13	exchange	75:10	51:11	61:10
establish	43:7	eyes 61:21	52:13,15	63:19
66:20	<b>excuse</b> 43:23	e-mail 82:11	53:4 54:5	farther 19:5
79:11	70:18 75:6	82:14	55:16 56:8	<b>fast</b> 63:1
80:21	exemption	<b>F</b>	56:19 61:3	father 42:20
established	21:16		62:2,3,7	48:8
7:21 14:23	61:25	face 76:19	62:10	fault 55:11
	exhibited		66:12	favor 27:19
66:18	35:9	4:22 9:11	67:11 68:3	27:20
establishes	exhibits	12:14	68:18	feasible
9:23 20:18	79:2	22:21	70:12 71:6	
establis	exist 71:17	24:21	71:6,10,14	
16:1	existence	25:18 28:9 33:5 35:10	1	federal 8:14
establis	22:23 54:7	1	facility's	10:6,12
57:21	existing	41:21,24 44:23 45:3	13:15	12:16 15:9
establis	22:21	44:23 45:3	fact 36:4	15:16 16:5
58:23	25:18	1	45:9 54:4	19:11
1	44:23 45:3	57:12	i	
58:14	66:14	77:17	57:8 60:25	1
ethically	<b>expansion</b> 24:25 25:2	facility	75:10 76:2	
54:24		5:13 6:4	76:6	72:12
evaluate	expecting	7:15,19,19	factor 34:18	<b>feel</b> 25:12
		1.13,19,19		1
		•	f	

29:13	85:14	54:22	23:20	39:18 43:7
36:16	<b>find</b> 10:19	formulate	41:18 47:7	45:23 61:4
38:22 39:7	17:4 23:7	31:12	47:25	82:24
48:7,14,15	26:11	forth 48:4	61:10 65:8	giving 7:9
48:16 50:5	37:22,25	85:7	75:14 83:5	7:14 71:2
50:5 72:2	38:1 58:18	forthcoming	85:12,14	71:5
feeling	58:20	27:10 65:2	furthermore	go 6:8 20:21
74:16	68:21 73:6	forward	75:25	23:9 36:10
feet 47:23	73:10	14:25	future 43:1	47:15,25
<b>fell</b> 64:23	finding 9:5	31:20		49:7,23
<b>felt</b> 48:18	12:7 18:11	58:11	G	50:4,11,13
55:12	findings 9:4	74:25	<b>GAGE</b> 3:13	61:9,21
<b>female</b> 8:22	11:3,8	75:16	garage 32:12	63:8 75:16
fewer 20:22	26:24 27:1	found 16:24	32:14,15	78:19
70:13	31:3 36:21	24:12	48:10 52:1	81:11
<b>FFHA</b> 15:16	76:23	49:16	59:2	goals 29:24
<b>Fields</b> 51:7	fine 82:23	four 22:12	Garages	47:3
51:7	fines 8:7	35:7 58:21	32:25	God 53:12
fifteen	<b>fire</b> 4:9	frankly 53:1	gates 68:9	goes 22:15
24:13	8:12 21:8	54:16 77:6	general 10:2	39:16
filling	21:9,10	Friday 81:5	21:18	44:24
76:10	41:7,11,11	friend 51:18	26:20	52:10 59:7
filtration	59:4 64:10	friendly	29:25	70:8 76:7
32:15 52:1	firm 38:13	69:9	51:14	going 4:4
final 28:1	first6:8	friends 69:8	60:15	5:1 6:7
finally 40:6	16:1 18:13	front 51:19	generally	7:7 12:17
61:9	21:20	52:3 55:18	40:20	12:20
financial	34:11	55:23	generous	14:25
10:24	38:10	68:24	74:17	19:20 20:4
16:11 22:8	47:18 57:20 60:4	<b>full</b> 66:18 66:19	germane 79:7 GERSHON 3:4	22:22 24:4 32:9 38:23
	63:11,13	full-time	getting	39:22
23:18,21 24:13,25	65:11,13	11:12	68:20	1
27:4 37:20	•	function	girls 68:2	45:21,23 48:4,6
39:24,25	five 11:3	56:4	68:18 69:6	49:19,20
41:13 53:6	27:1 62:8	fundamental	69:23	49:21
57:20,21	71:3	10:21 12:8	give 6:7,9,9	50:20 53:1
57:23 58:8	<b>Floor</b> 3:5	13:9 16:13	7:8 15:8	54:19
58:15,16	focus 53:3	16:18,19	24:3,5	59:11
76:21,24	focused 18:3	16:20 18:2	26:9 38:7	60:25 62:8
77:1,20	followed	28:4 34:2	40:17	62:20 63:1
78:21	27:17	34:10	45:16,17	63:19 67:4
79:17 80:2	following	36:23,24	50:8 51:1	68:19 71:2
80:23	23:2 73:17	54:14	54:11,12	74:19,25
financially	foregoing	fundamen	65:4 66:22	79:18 81:9
19:14	85:6,8,12	17:5 26:14	71:9 77:3	81:12,23
23:13	<b>forest</b> 64:10	further	79:6,8	82:5
39:22	forgive	12:11	given 12:2	good 7:12
			13:18 34:8	
	_		l	<u> </u>

<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u></u>
40:8 52:9	half 61:20	34:13	54:9	ignore 54:17
54:6 58:20	72:23	38:11	hour 32:19	ignoring
58:25	Hall 81:15	43:10 45:7	hours 32:3,4	54:7 57:6
61:10,15	81:16	46:2 47:8	72:24	illegal
63:20	handicapped	78:16	house 8:15	18:10
65:21 74:2	15:13 16:7	79:14,22	48:25 49:1	61:23
74:5 75:22	70:9	80:3,16	49:4,6,8	illicit 66:1
government	handicaps	81:1,8	49:10,12	illustrate
17:12,20	25:10	82:2 83:3	49:15,25	58:9
70:14	handled 78:3	83:22 84:4	50:21 51:3	immediate
Grand 3:5	handling	hearings	55:18,23	42:6 64:24
granddau	60:13	4:19 8:23	59:3 65:24	immediately
49:10	Hang 82:4	15:5,8	66:4 68:25	75:9,13
grant 11:4	happens 83:4	18:25	69:12 73:8	81:18
17:20	hard 37:22	30:13	housed 11:14	impact 29:21
26:25	37:24	82:24 83:9	13:22 24:7	35:19 37:6
27:21	harm 32:16	heart 44:25	24:8	57:25
28:16 38:2	Harmon 4:17	76:8	housekee	65:16
39:12	hats 62:18	helping 66:7	11:16	66:23,25
51:16 64:3	health 29:24	high 28:24	35:23	impacting
granted 22:5	56:4 57:1	29:4	36:11 47:3	59:17
30:12,17	70:11 71:4	higher 24:11	houses 30:10	impacts
30:24	hear 14:15	highlighted	60:13 66:5	29:16
33:10	31:5 37:10	27:7	70:3	35:14 37:9
granting	49:17	highligh 6:12	housing 7:16 7:25 10:6	implement 29:24 30:3
10:20	62:25		10:12 13:2	1
16:11 26:18 27:3	63:25 67:2 72:17	highly 59:12 hold 38:6	13:5 15:9	important 17:17 30:2
27:19 28:3	heard 4:20	home 5:10	15:16	39:1 45:8
28:6	15:6 27:23	21:23,25	16:24	53:5 56:11
great 11:25	27:24	22:3 40:13	17:11,21	57:1 75:7
47:5 50:15	53:10	50:6,6,6,7	18:23	impose 10:23
50:15 81:3	62:22 67:2	51:8 52:6	20:14,16	16:11 27:3
Greater 70:4	78:2	60:17,23	20:22	imposed
70:5	hearing1:7	61:1,2	22:18	73:23
greatest	1:9 2:7	65:25	23:22	impress
13:18	4:5,7 5:17	66:13,13	24:15,18	58:10
gross 29:3	6:5,15,21	homes 4:17	25:9 45:4	impressed
group 4:17	6:23 7:1,4	20:11	53:3 72:24	77:6
9:9	7:6 9:3,13	Honor 83:4	Howard 24:6	improved
guess 54:22	9:19 11:1	honorable	<b>HUD</b> 72:21,23	14:25
63:6 71:13	14:4,20	54:12	huge 51:15	inasmuch
83:20	18:11	hope 38:21		43:12
guidance	23:18,19	hopefully	I	incentive
13:1	23:24 26:5	41:16	idea 61:10	66:8
<b>guys</b> 69:22	26:7 27:11	hopes 49:18	65:21	include 7:25
	30:16	49:19	identify	8:11
H	31:20 32:7	horrific	6:18 47:19	included 9:2
	1	i e	•	

<u></u>				
includes	24:5 26:9	33:8 65:6	<b>jacket</b> 72:9	know 19:25
11:10	26:9 27:25	interactive	Janet 3:8	27:19 40:9
15:10	41:24 43:7	17:2,24	6:8 7:7,8	40:15
56:14,15	45:12,17	73:1,4	7:13	41:10,23
56:17	45:18,22	interest 9:9	January 8:19	41:24
including	46:4,5,12	interested	8:24,25	46:24
33:2 72:25	46:14	33:3 85:15	9:13,14,15	47:14 49:1
incorrect	64:25 65:4	interesting	<b>job</b> 55:6	49:3,3,13
56:10	74:7 75:17	53:18	63:4	51:9 52:5
72:13	75:22,24	interven	joint 17:10	52:13,24
increase	75:25 76:5	68:17	<b>judge</b> 70:19	53:18 55:1
24:10	76:22	introduce	judgment	61:6 62:7
26:17	77:17,22	38:10,21	78:24	62:8 64:3
36:16,20	78:6,7	inventor	<b>July</b> 50:17	64:11,18
increased	79:12	82:17	50:17,19	64:20
35:19	80:24 83:8	investig	52:8,10	68:13 69:1
increasing	83:13	8:5	<b>June</b> 83:19	72:4,18
24:12	informed	investig	84:5	76:21
indicated	17:21 65:1	17:22	jurisdic	80:13
11:7 59:20	ingredient	72:12,23	24:22	81:23
72:12 74:6	75:2	invite 76:18	Justice	82:19 83:2
individual	inherently	involved	17:11	knowledge
10:9,16	43:13	13:14		73:9
15:20,23	initial 27:5	27:12	K	known 8:11
18:15 19:7	Inn 24:6	38:18 43:3	<b>K</b> 3:4	50:19
19:7 21:23	Inn's 25:7	43:4,5	keep 14:15	58:22
30:25 31:1	input 76:18	58:1	39:15	knows 53:12
61:4 67:21	inquire	involvement	63:19	Kramer 1:8
69:20 70:7	79:16	11:20 83:1	79:24 80:3	3:12 4:5
73:12	inside 20:4	involving	80:13,16	5:25 6:3
individuals	insignif	4:17 81:1	<b>Kevin</b> 62:15	6:11 7:14
7:16,17	35:15	<b>Island</b> 46:22	kids 40:13	7:19 8:6,7
10:7,15	insist 58:10	issue 23:21	Kiff 4:16	8:13,19
i	institut	27:23,24	7:10 12:21	10:1 13:13
21:21	30:5	31:3 57:11	15:1 27:7	13:14,19
22:15,17	institut	65:11,12	33:3 46:10	14:2,10,22
22:24 24:8	26:22	73:17 75:1	46:10,25	20:22
25:11 27:9	insuffic	77:13 80:5	74:6 82:16	38:14,17
30:9 31:9	26:17	issued8:6	Kiff's 15:2	38:20 39:4
31:16	intake 68:16	issues 19:21	74:12	42:17,20
32:21	integral	57:7 59:17	kill 59:13	42:21,24
39:14 45:4	į .	59:17	kind 20:1	43:8,19
48:7 70:7	60:22 61:1	76:19,24	50:15	55:16,17
73:8	61:2 62:2	80:9	78:25	56:7 62:22
individu	62:3,10	item 6:3	kinderga	65:15,23
66:7	intended	45:24	50:3	66:21
information	30:7	J	Kit 71:23	67:15,17
23:18 24:2	intention		knew 69:18	68:1,5,10
L				

68:14,16	leasehold	7:24 8:6	49:22 61:9	34:14 53:8
1	9:9	9:1 12:4	62:7 79:8	I .
68:20,24	leases 42:14	Lido 7:20	83:5	58:21,24 66:5
69:1,9,16	1	1	1	locations
69:19,20	leave 63:17	39:4 43:6	live 18:15	1
71:9,15	64:2 81:20	46:22 61:1	19:7 21:23	59:15
72:25	81:22,24	68:11	21:25 22:3	logical 25:6
Kramer's	82:3	71:10	25:17,18	long 33:18
67:7	leaving	lie 76:1	25:19,24	54:17
L	27:22	lies 77:20	26:10	longer 33:5
	left32:2	life 20:7,19	1	43:24
lack 39:25	71:20 72:9	20:25 21:5	47:22	62:11
land 9:23	legal 72:18	21:7 22:15	55:16	82:23
10:3,9	74:24	56:25	lived 51:2	look 16:9
20:5 30:22	76:21	lighting	lives 51:18	20:3 28:5
30:23	length 16:16	47:9	52:12	28:14,17
landlord	Les 51:7	likelihood	living 7:15	33:18,22
56:21	lesser 53:11	66:2	9:22 25:12	33:23
language	53:12	limit6:14	25:20,24	35:12 48:3
49:11 53:2	let's 4:12	13:10 18:7	· ·	48:3 63:9
<b>large</b> 39:5,5	l .	25:8 38:17	30:8 31:9	68:5
<b>Larry</b> 52:24	47:14,16	64:7	33:12,14	looked 17:25
56:2	47:17 59:1	limitation	36:7 45:3	18:16,18
<b>Lastly</b> 57:11	67:4 68:23	13:17 14:7	46:6,19,20	19:12 25:4
Laura 2:11	78:13 84:4	14:9,21	58:7 61:19	33:15
85:22	level 18:18	limitations	62:10	68:11
law 8:8 9:12	18:19 20:9	13:10	71:14	73:14,15
12:3 13:1	22:7 23:4	limited	<b>LLC</b> 58:13	looking
19:11	23:5 25:22	25:23	local 7:23	31:20
20:18 35:3	29:5,8	38:20 43:1	9:1,12	66:21,24
35:10	31:15 35:4	67:7	11:24 12:3	74:18
38:13	35:4,15	Linda 48:24	33:1 35:3	80:18
54:10,14	56:13	line 47:17	35:10	looks 58:16
54:20,21	liaison	70:1	50:14	loose 67:22
56:15 60:1	43:18	link 19:9	67:13	Los 3:5
60:2,2,4,7	74:15	liquor 64:14	70:14	<b>lose</b> 18:23
60:9,9	license 8:2	64:14,18	locate 28:11	25:16,17
62:4 70:2	33:9,11	64:24 66:1	65:21	lot 40:23
70:6,10,11	61:2,3,4	66:18,19	66:11 79:7	48:6,10
73:10,13	70:20,24	list 26:2	located 7:17	49:1 52:5
laws 7:24	71:1,7,12	listed 71:4	7:20 21:18	52:20,20
10:6,12	licensed	listen 63:3	28:8,10	62:18
11:24	7:19 8:9	literally	29:12 46:7	<b>lots</b> 59:9
12:16 33:1	13:20,25	50:21	65:17	loudly 55:21
57:6	62:4 67:11	litter 64:1	66:11	
lawyer 38:12	68:3 70:12	<b>little</b> 15:2	81:14	M
<b>lead</b> 30:5	70:16 71:9	19:5 22:10	location	machine
leading	71:15	24:3 35:16	28:25	85:10
11:19	licensing	49:13,22	31:22	maintain
				11:23
	I	l		I

		***************************************		
maintaining	72:9	51:25	move 32:14	76:12
32:12	matter 4:7	merit 48:2	59:1	necessity
major 64:19	37:22	48:15	moved 58:5	16:17
majority	38:12	Mesa 3:14	moving 38:19	18:13 23:1
46:23 68:2	56:25 77:5	38:13 48:5	68:22	23:6,20
68:7	79:18	message 46:9	multiple	24:4 25:14
making 13:8	83:19	met 51:17	59:15	44:19 53:7
26:24	matters	methods	multi-fa	54:7 75:1
56:12	75:21	21:12	29:2	76:3 77:8
75:19	maximum 6:17	metropol	Municipal	need 22:5
76:23	mean 4:25	64:19	9:7,21	47:25
male 8:22	5:9 34:17	MFR 10:4	10:25	53:16
man 49:17	40:10	21:19	21:17	60:21 63:9
management	43:23 48:1	28:10,13	22:12	63:17 72:3
14:3 39:9	48:20	28:21 29:1	28:24	79:11 80:9
49:18,19	51:21	50:6	33:17	80:11,14
manager	58:18	Michelle	34:19	<b>needed</b> 10:9
12:21	59:15	38:19		27:25
14:16	60:17	microphone	N	41:15
31:25 36:9	64:17	47:9	name 6:18	<b>needs</b> 17:15
69:12	78:23 81:2	<b>middle</b> 23:9	38:12	17:16,19
managerial	means 25:12	Millsap 2:11		17:19 18:3
13:15	61:5,11	85:22	48:24 51:7	19:18
31:13	76:25	mind 14:15	62:15	20:20 31:8
32:23	81:15	39:16 77:4	85:18	51:16 53:3
37:15	mediator	77:14	names 35:7	63:17 73:3
38:16,21	54:25	80:16	49:9	73:7,11
managers	medium 28:24	minimize	nasty 49:11	76:11,12
35:8	29:4,8	66:2 minor7:25	nature 10:21 12:9 16:13	77:1
manipulate 58:15	meet 17:15	ì	22:22,25	negative
manner 27:14	17:18,19 20:25 31:8	minutes 6:18 47:12	28:4 44:24	29:15 65:16
Mar 68:8	33:25 34:4	misleading	near 52:13	
marketing	35:25 34:4	68:15	65:21	negatively 71:24
43:2 67:8	73:7,11	mitigated	nearly 36:12	negotiation
67:8,23,24	76:12	29:22	66:14	43:11
Marshal 21:8	meeting	35:14	necessarily	neighbor
21:9,10	47:23	moment 47:6	76:11	26:15 33:6
41:7	member 6:16	75:6	necessary	37:3,6
massive	7:1 11:12	Monday 80:22	10:15	50:5,25
62:10	12:24	81:2,3	15:13,23	57:13
materials	members 67:5	monitor	19:4,4,14	64:12
21:12 55:9	men 52:19,21	27:16	21:21	65:25 66:6
Mathena	mentioned	morning 81:3	22:20 23:8	neighbor
43:23	23:3 24:20	Morningstar	24:15,17	30:1
52:24,24	30:22	48:24,24	24:25	neighboring
55:6,10,13	31:12 32:7	mother 48:8	38:16	32:16 37:9
56:2 71:20	41:25	motion 78:24	41:14	neighbor's
			44:25 54:1	_
		İ		

32:19 59:4	25:4	77:10	79:23	12:15
neither	notice 41:11	observe 51:6	offices 39:4	20:20
85:14	notify 44:5	60:5	off-prem	24:21
never 62:22	81:18	observed	56:7	29:11 30:6
73:9	November 8:1	65:24	oh 7:8 50:15	35:9
neverthe	nuisance	obtained	54:16 67:6	operation
45:8	50:1 66:17	33:10	81:10	8:11 9:10
new 14:13,13	number 25:19	obtaining	okay 5:4,8	9:21 13:13
38:21 51:3	25:21 26:2	8:2 43:2	5:24 34:1	13:15
68:20	26:22 35:7	obvious 61:7	42:3,18	22:22
Newport1:8	41:6 46:20	Obviously	44:7 45:19	38:18
1:10 2:8,8	46:21 49:5	81:12	47:5 54:20	39:23 43:4
2:9 3:3,7	53:11,12	occupancies	54:21	43:12
3:9,10,12	60:15 68:6	20:8,11,13	55:13	44:24
4:1,5 6:4	72:24	20:8,11,13	60:15,21	58:12,12
7:20 9:7	77:18 78:4	occupancy	61:8,21	operational
21:9,10,16	82:24	19:23 20:1	62:15	11:18 12:5
31:10	numbers 20:1	20:6,9,10	65:10	18:8 33:16
33:17	39:6 80:11	20:0,9,10	69:24	34:2,5
42:24	80:12	21:3	71:25	operations
46:22	N-i-c-h	occupied	74:22 79:5	8:21 74:19
47:22	59:24	11:16	80:22 82:8	operator
50:15	37.24	35:23	83:3	18:4 24:9
55:15,19	0	occur 14:5	<b>old</b> 61:19	24:14
57:17	oath 45:6	occurred	once 4:11	30:23
64:15 68:7	85:9	69:4	45:22 53:7	40:12 53:9
68:8,9	Oberman 4:24	Ocean 62:18	53:15	53:10 57:8
69:5,11,21	5:4,8	Oceans 62:17	ones 78:5	62:24
Nichols 5:9	55:14,14	offer 74:15	on-site 8:16	operators
5:17,20,24	68:23 69:3	74:17	11:12,13	48:1 53:4
6:2 59:24	69:17,22	office 12:19	· ·	56:4,13
59:24	71:19 72:6	46:10	35:13 36:9	57:9
69:25 70:4	72:7	81:17,17	68:19 71:6	opinion
70:18,23	obeying 60:1	81:22 82:1	open 5:23	62:20
nine 51:20	objections	82:10	14:4,15	opportun
Nods 71:24	58:4	Officer 1:9	27:22 47:8	15:14
noise 48:10	objective	4:7 6:24	69:14	23:22
non-conf	78:4	7:4 9:3	77:13	25:24
29:18,21	obligated	11:1 14:20	opened 6:15	26:10
non-medical	14:17	23:19,24	operate	opportunity
71:3	obligation	26:5,7	29:14	10:10,17
non-smoking	48:16	30:16	43:14	15:24
52:14	53:20	34:13	operated	19:10,10
normally	<b>OBORNY</b> 3:9	79:14 80:4	13:20 35:3	19:13
47:13	obscenities	80:17 81:8	operates	22:18,24
note 12:25	55:25	82:3 83:3	42:22	24:18 34:8
53:5	observation	83:22	operating	34:20
noted 7:22	40:18	Officer's	8:8 9:11	36:14 38:7
	45:11 72:6	<del></del>		
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

	ì	1	1	
<b>opposed</b> 54:4	42:21	parties	period6:23	phone 4:11
opposite	43:13	43:22	53:14	75:7
65:23	<b>Oxford</b> 65:24	85:16	82:18	physically
option 32:17	66:4	<b>partly</b> 55:11	perjury 16:3	36:25
options	o'clock 4:21	partner	permit 7:24	Ph.D67:17
25:19	63:18	53:21	8:14,20,23	pictures
order 11:4	0-b-e-r	<b>parts</b> 62:3	9:2,3,16	68:10
33:20 40:1	55:14	partying	10:5 12:4	<b>place</b> 17:6
65:5 79:14		52:9 58:22	18:11	28:20 30:7
Ordinance	<b>P</b>	<b>pass</b> 7:7	21:19	33:24
8:18 12:12	<b>page</b> 44:17	passed 46:9	28:12,13	34:11,11
13:8,9	45:2	patients	28:18,19	39:2 43:6
29:17,19	<b>pages</b> 78:13	24:7	29:10,17	50:11 85:7
30:16	78:20,25	patio 51:20	30:7,11,14	placed 85:9
organiza	<b>paid</b> 54:19	52:3	30:19 31:4	<b>places</b> 50:12
42:12	<b>paper</b> 72:21	PATRICK 3:4	32:7 33:22	62:9
outage 4:10	79:9	pattern 7:23	33:23,24	placing
outlets	paperwork	9:11 12:3	34:2,6	77:10
31:18	41:15	18:9 32:22	35:6,17,20	plaintiff
34:16,21	paragraph	35:9 57:6	35:25	24:16,24
65:18	67:19	71:17	36:21,24	25:1
outlet's	parents 68:4	<b>Pause</b> 83:17	80:2	<b>plan</b> 26:20
66:14	parking	<b>pay</b> 56:22	Permits 36:1	26:20
outpatient	26:17	penalty 16:3	54:12	29:25
71:9,15	32:13,25	Peninsula	permitted	60:15
outside	35:13,19	40:19,21	9:24,24,25	Planner 3:8
74:17 82:9	35:21,25	42:9,10	10:4 19:1	planning
overburden	40:22	46:22	33:12	5:16 6:9
79:9	part 17:23	<b>people</b> 23:9	46:21	7:13 29:4
overconc	30:4 54:14	33:24 48:9	person 9:8	46:3
30:4 37:3	60:6 61:2	50:9,10,16	15:13	played 66:6
57:11,14	61:11,12	50:18	30:25 51:3	<b>please</b> 4:13
overconc	66:6	51:21 53:8	51:5	47:19
76:10	particip	53:14	personal	56:10
owned 42:13	9:9	54:12,19	32:24 36:3	75:16 82:7
42:16,16	particip	54:25	49:24	<b>plenty</b> 57:12
52:6	43:12	55:19 56:9	personally	point 14:12
owner 42:15	particular	56:20,22	69:15	39:11
48:25 49:2	18:4 20:4	60:17,25	personnel	41:18 42:7
58:12,13	28:7 29:11	64:7,9,16	38:21	53:15,24
owners 35:8	30:24 40:2	69:4,9	persons 10:7	54:22
37:10	40:19 41:8	percent	13:4,10,11	59:15
ownership	51:11 53:8	24:23	13:12,22	pointed
9:9 57:24	53:8 57:13	perform 56:4	65:17	48:18
58:1,5	71:17	performed	perspective	69:20
owner/op	particul	68:17	53:19	points 39:20
62:16	27:6 37:4	performing	Phoenix	<b>police</b> 64:2
owns 42:11	37:5	46:11	67:20	64:4
L				

		1	t	ŧ
policies	presenta	problematic	47:22	45:4 56:22
15:12,17	6:11,13,14	32:8	57:23 58:2	provider
policy 36:15	7:9 12:17	problems	59:5,20	16:24 73:8
politely	15:4 38:3	43:19	61:14 71:2	providers
55:24	38:8 44:11	59:21 74:3	propose	10:7
population	44:17 77:3	procedure	54:24 55:1	providing
11:11	79:12	73:18	78:8	7:15 71:5
18:19,20	presenta	proceed 4:12	proposed	proving 54:3
22:7	78:17	4:13 47:14	11:8 12:2	provisions
portion	presented	proceeding	25:22 27:8	9:7
40:19,21	43:8	83:17	29:5 31:7	proximate
<b>posed</b> 55:12	presenting	proceedings	31:23 35:4	55:16
position	74:25	85:6,8,10	37:15	proximity
25:7 53:16	presume 72:7	process 6:8	43:17	26:23
61:15,16	prevailing	17:2,24	54:18 72:5	34:15,21
72:21 74:8	40:21	23:10	74:11	59:3 65:18
possibility	prevent	44:17 73:1	proposing	public 1:7
33:4	29:15	processed	64:16	2:7 6:15
possible	previous	32:16	proprietors	6:16,21
11:7 17:25	81:7	processes	56:18	7:1 8:23
potential	primarily	82:22	prospective	9:13 29:23
67:25	74:25	professi	18:17,18	47:8 57:1
power 4:9	principally	11:22	19:3 23:3	63:10,13
43:13	43:2	56:15	25:16,22	63:15 67:5
PowerPoint	prior8:1	program	protect 20:8	68:22,22
16:23	85:6,8	10:22 12:9	30:3,8	79:18
practical	private	16:14,14	protection	80:12
14:8	52:16	19:2 56:9	21:13,14	puffing 64:9
practice	privilege	programs 8:5	protections	purchased
9:11 18:9	79:20	28:5	20:7	64:23
35:9	probably	promote	protects	66:12,13
practices	19:24	29:23 33:5	37:18	<b>purely</b> 34:18
15:12,18	34:24	promoting	provide 10:9	purporting
73:18	40:15 42:5		10:13	12:14
premises	52:4,15	prong 16:1	19:11,15	purpose
39:2 64:7	54:23	16:17,17	21:12	10:22
prepare	76:19	prongs 19:12	22:23	16:20,21
77:16	78:20	<b>proof</b> 75:18	23:20 24:2	17:6 18:2
prepared	79:20	proper 8:2	24:13,15	26:19
48:14	82:13 83:9	properties	24:17 26:1	28:15,19
presence	problem	57:23 59:3	28:22 29:1	28:22 29:1
31:17 66:9	13:18 35:7	59:8,18,21	54:4 56:8	29:10,19
present 6:16	41:2 50:9	property 8:1	58:9 71:14	29:20 30:2
11:13	50:10	32:6 35:1	77:21	34:2,10,22
24:24 32:1	51:15,23	36:25	provided	36:24
41:8 66:15	52:11 64:2	37:10	7:19 8:25	45:25
72:3 74:7	64:17	42:11,12	25:21	purposes
84:2	79:17	42:14	31:16 35:8	12:12 28:7

28:19,21	74:20	52:11	25:6 33:19	67:1 69:5
29:23	79:10	59:14,23	reasons 21:6	69:12,21
30:11	questions	61:14 68:6	36:15	Recovery's
33:21,22	6:23,25	77:13	rebut 6:22	4:22
pursuant	7:2 37:13	realtors	received	recycles
8:17 10:25	37:21 38:5	59:19	67:13	40:14
purview	38:6,14	reason 13:23	Recognizing	reduce 39:21
74:12	41:16,17	18:24	45:6	reduction
put 17:6	44:13 47:6	33:18 44:4	recommend	11:11
19:20	63:14,15	54:10 63:2	59:12	37:18
25:13	69:25	reasonable	recommen	refer 80:11
28:20	71:23	4:6 6:5,11		80:15
30:16	Quiet 32:3,4	9:18 10:8	18:6 27:18	referenced
32:14	quieter	10:13,18	27:21 28:1	75:22
34:10	52:20	11:3,5	31:6	referred
49:21	quit 40:6,16	12:25	recommended	73:13 75:9
60:24	quite 48:10	14:18 15:6	18:20,25	referring
64:16	51:19 76:6	15:11,21	21:6 23:5	5:25
73:10 78:9	quote 12:22	16:25 17:3	30:13	reflect 45:9
83:5	17:10 53:1	17:5,13,19	reconvened	refusal
puts 15:15	quoted 28:23	18:1,24	4:8	15:11
putting	<b>quo ceu</b> 20.25	25:13	record 6:20	refuse 49:12
41:10	R	26:25 27:1	7:10 12:20	refuses
76:11	radius 31:18	30:13,17	27:11 45:8	17:12
p.m 2:9,10	raised 7:1	30:13,17	47:20	regard 23:2
4:2,2 32:3	raises 79:10	33:13,13	52:18,22	39:9 40:4
32:4 83:20	ran 67:17	33:20 34:7	63:7 75:7	41:5 74:4
84:6	rate 24:22	35:17,18	77:10	regarding
01.0	rates 46:13	36:18,22	79:18,20	9:1 12:1
Q	ratings 42:2	37:17 38:1	80:12	41:21
qualific	rationale	39:12,13	83:14,16	57:20 58:2
67:16,22	25:9	46:18 48:2	83:18 84:1	58:8,18
qualified	reach 76:12	48:15	85:10	59:6 74:24
11:21 12:6	read 12:20	53:20	records	regards 7:24
qualifies	17:9 19:24	56:17	39:25 58:8	77:19
61:25	47:25	60:10	78:21	regret 12:23
62:11	readily	61:16 65:3	recovery	regular
quality	43:20 76:4	70:2,6,8	4:20 13:4	37:12
22:14	reading 7:9	70:17	13:11 16:4	55:20 69:5
question	81:4	72:14 75:2	20:13,16	69:14
4:24 18:14	real 42:11	76:9 78:6	22:8 23:10	regularly
19:6 40:2	42:12	reasonab	30:3,8	55:17,18
41:19	58:13,14	16:17	34:15	69:6
46:19	reality 56:1	19:22 23:1	37:19 48:9	regulations
55:12 59:7	really 39:13	26:13	55:19	10:3,9
60:23 62:6	47:25	reasonably	62:16,17	12:16 16:5
62:9 68:23	51:16,17	53:17	62:18	rehab 58:4,7
71:8,19,22	51:21,22	reasoning	65:17 66:7	rehabs 59:21
72:5,11	51:22	_		
		l		I

	x	*	•	
reinspec	13:6 37:9	40:1 70:2	16:3	resolve 12:8
8:12	Reporter	71:10	residence	respect
reintegr	2:11 6:20	required	38:24	39:19
30:3	62:25	8:14 9:4	resident	43:15
relapse 66:2	83:24 85:5	11:4,8	55:15	44:21
66:8	reports 5:6	19:9,13	57:16 66:3	56:14 74:8
<b>relate</b> 76:24	67:13	26:24 31:3	69:16,19	74:8,24
related 8:10	75:21	34:6 36:21	69:21	75:18,19
relating 8:8	represent	38:1 72:18	residential	75:23 76:1
relation	38:13	80:1	8:21 9:25	77:8 82:16
14:23	represen	requirement	10:2 12:14	respectable
19:16 69:9	67:22	9:20 10:23	21:18 28:9	54:13
73:4	represen	16:22	28:23 29:2	respond
relative	59:20	28:20	29:13,16	63:22
12:3 85:15	reputable	30:15	29:18 30:1	responding
relevant	11:21 12:7	52:14 60:5	36:8 56:8	63:8
19:23	request 6:12	72:15,19	61:23	responds
reliance	7:5,6 10:8	73:23	65:25 71:6	63:10,12
56:17	10:14,17	requirem	residents	response
remaining	10:19,20	7:24 8:17	7:18 11:12	65:11,14
37:13	15:19,21	9:2 12:4	11:13,14	responsi
38:14 40:2	16:11,25	20:8,19,25	18:4,17,17	56:14,19
41:16	17:3,4	21:4,7	18:18,21	responsible
79:17	21:20 23:2	33:17,24	18:23,25	57:10 76:2
remember	25:5 27:3	34:1,5,5,9	19:3 20:23	restitution
42:1	28:3,6,7	34:10	23:3,4,7,8	72:16
Removal	38:25	35:25	23:12,13	restriction
32:21	39:12	44:21	23:15	17:6
rent 58:2	58:10	72:15	24:15 25:3	restrict
rental 56:3	requested	requires 9:8	25:9,10,15	18:2,8
57:24	9:14,15,19	15:16	25:16,23	21:16
renter 49:1	17:12	19:11	29:6,7	25:13
49:2	18:19	21:17	31:25	restruct
renters	19:15,17	23:12,13	32:17,24	11:18
49:15	21:15 22:6	23:15	37:19 43:4	result 10:20
renting 58:2	22:7 23:4	28:12	52:16 53:4	16:12
reopen 4:4	23:19 26:1	29:17 53:3	1	26:16 28:3
repeatedly	35:4 46:3	53:21 71:7	63:4 68:24	return 6:22
54:20 57:5	46:5 54:1	requiring	69:1,5	returns
69:17	requester	11:20	70:13	58:11
report 4:13	17:15,16	37:16	resident	revealed
5:5 7:22	requesting	research	8:22	64:25
8:25 11:6	8:20	73:17	resident	review 80:4
16:16	requests 6:6	76:21 78:4	8:16	rezoned
19:24 37:8	16:10	reservat	residing	60:15,19
38:4 42:1	37:24	27:6,6,22	13:23 30:9	rezoning
44:16,19	require 22:2	31:5	resolution	24:9 60:19
reported	22:6 29:12	reside 13:4	72:20	RICHARDS 3:4
L				

ride 55:22	<b>s</b> 3:5	32:6,9	serious 27:5	65:6
right 4:4,14	Sacramento	34:25	serve 12:14	single 11:16
5:21 6:2	4:16	40:22 64:1	74:15	20:11,11
9:24 21:21	<b>safety</b> 8:12	64:5	services 8:3	21:1 28:23
24:9 38:3	20:7,19,25	Secondly	8:4 15:12	29:1 35:23
44:14,14	21:5,7	53:18	71:3,5	36:7,11
46:1 47:6	29:24	secrets 80:5	set 5:6	47:2 70:15
47:12,16	56:25 57:1	section 9:7	15:18 63:9	single-f
51:2,18	70:11 71:4	9:20,23	85:7	29:6 66:5
55:18 59:7	sanity 78:13	10:3,25	setting	sir 70:22
63:20	Santa 48:12	21:17	67:23	76:16
64:13	50:3	28:24	seven 20:14	site 67:12
70:21 72:1	<b>sat</b> 15:5	30:20	21:2	67:15,25
72:7 74:13	satisfac	70:11	SHIRLEY 3:9	68:5
79:1 80:6	43:22	see 14:5,6,9	short 13:21	situation
84:4	satisfy 40:1	14:24	35:21 36:3	17:9 24:6
rights 13:4	41:12,15	34:23	shorthand	28:5 31:22
role 42:25	41:16	42:21	2:11 85:4	34:7 56:21
67:7	satisfying	43:11	85:11	64:8
roles 32:23	41:7	55:20 60:7	1	six 7:16
room 4:9	saw 71:19	67:4 68:1	show 25:1	8:16 11:12
5:15 6:17	saying 52:2	68:6,23	33:20	11:14,14
39:5 47:15	60:20	76:20 83:8	65:16	13:11 18:7
53:13 63:7	61:11,18	seeing 73:15	showing	18:7 19:5
Roy 47:18,21	<b>says</b> 34:19	73:16	24:17 76:2	20:17,22
47:21	45:2 49:19	76:19	shown 48:2	21:2,6
48:18	50:14	seeking 31:9	shut 63:17	23:12,13
RPR 2:11	54:21 55:2	77:1	side 49:4,6	23:15
85:22	58:19 61:2	seeks 10:23	49:12	25:23 29:6
rule 64:6	72:22	12:12	sidewalk	31:24
rules 15:11	73:15	16:22	51:23	35:20,21
15:17	scenario	seen 49:25	<b>sight</b> 58:21	35:22 36:8
69:12	14:1	54:2 78:21	significant	36:10
<b>run</b> 30:22	scheduled	self-con	24:19 59:5	39:13,15
runs 40:20	4:19	41:3	82:20 83:9	39:21 47:2
Rushed 83:11	screen 38:9	self-esteem	similar 9:11	48:7,8,9
R-o-y 47:21	38:15	66:7	21:1 22:21	51:20
<b>R2</b> 28:8,15	<b>Sea</b> 46:20	sell 14:11	22:25	52:19,19
28:21,22	61:19	59:19	23:22	52:20,21
50:6,7	62:11	selling	24:21	60:11,13
60:16,18	<b>seal</b> 80:10	59:21	25:18	64:8 70:3
60:19	80:17 82:2	<b>send</b> 82:13	26:23 35:9	70:4,5,12
61:24	second 14:9	sense 48:20	44:23 45:3	77:7,13
<b>R3</b> 20:10,24	20:21 32:2	separate 5:1	<b>simple</b> 56:21	six-and
<b>R3.1</b> 20:16	39:11 71:8	5:2 43:10	<b>simply</b> 78:23	61:24
<b>R4</b> 20:13	secondary	62:7	Sincerely	six-or-o
21:3	29:16,21	sequence	15:1	61:12
	secondhand	67:17	sincerity	six-or-u
<b>S</b>				
			-	•

33:8,11	55:18	sprinklered	8:6,8 9:1	4:23 5:6
61:11	socializing	41:9	9:12 10:6	7:17,18
70:16,20	69:6	sprinklers	10:12	13:21,23
six-or-u	sold 52:7	41:11	11:23 12:3	13:24 14:4
61:13,20	sole 13:23	square 29:3	12:15	14:11
size 11:11	solution	stable 33:6	20:18 21:8	20:24 39:3
24:12 41:6	32:12 65:5	staff 4:12	33:1 35:3	40:23 41:1
sleep 39:17	somebody	5:5,6 6:24	35:10	48:25 49:6
slighting	50:22 57:5	6:24 7:22	42:19	50:18,18
54:9,10	69:15	8:25 9:4,5	52:21 58:6	50:10,21
small 25:24	sorry 22:3	11:6,6,12	60:3 62:4	51:8,13
26:11	43:25 49:6	11:25	64:17	52:7,18
<b>smoke</b> 32:6,9	49:23	12:24 13:6	70:10,11	58:5,7
32:15	55:10,13	16:16	71:1 85:5	59:8,16,22
34:25 40:4	63:9	18:20	stated 29:19	64:14
40:22 41:3	sort 19:17	19:24	33:8 69:25	68:12
49:5,7,23	43:14	25:22	77:17,19	stress 14:19
51:15,20	61:18	26:12 27:4	statement	strictly
52:15 59:2	sound 20:1	31:2 33:15	7:10 12:21	51:5
59:6 64:1	77:12	35:4 36:1	16:2 17:10	stringent
64:5 68:25	sounds 82:20	37:8,13	45:9 58:16	21:4
smoked 40:12	source 16:8	38:4,23	58:17	strongly
smoker 40:5	sources	39:8 41:21	statements	72:22
smokers 40:9	64:12	41:25	27:11	structure
64:1	south 58:1	44:15,18	states 53:2	27:14
smoking	<b>speak</b> 6:17	45:6,10	70:12	37:14
32:14	6:17 47:17	63:8,10,13	status 54:3	40:19
40:16	48:14,17	65:8 67:8	70:9	studies
48:10	48:19,21	74:12,16	<b>stay</b> 19:1	65:15,20
49:21	62:20	75:10,21	33:4	65:20
52:11	speaking	78:3 79:25	<b>stays</b> 33:5	66:25
55:20	55:21	staff's6:12	61:22	<b>stuff</b> 52:9
58:18,19	special	14:20,21	<b>step</b> 43:13	subject 8:1
58:24	29:12,12	15:3 23:5	58:25	9:18 18:22
59:11,13	specific	29:5	stick 47:12	70:13
64:7	20:6 26:20	<b>stand</b> 57:7	stipulate	submission
<b>sober</b> 7:15	30:23,25	59:9	79:23	80:23
9:22 25:20	31:1 32:21	standard	stock 47:1	submit 16:2
25:24 26:2	51:10 53:4	35:17,18	<b>stop</b> 55:24	23:18
26:11 31:9	specific	37:4	stops 22:1	41:14
33:12,14	9:5 23:21	standards	<b>store</b> 50:14	78:12
45:3 46:6	specify 73:8	20:19 34:3	64:13,14	79:15
46:19	<b>spell</b> 6:19	35:5	66:19	submitted
61:19	6:19 47:19	stands 65:25	stores 64:18	5:3 9:17
62:10	52:25	start 7:14	66:1,18	23:11,14
71:14	<b>spoke</b> 47:23	47:15,16	straight	80:2,10
Social 8:3,4	72:23	started 40:5	14:1	83:21
socialize	<b>spot</b> 35:21	<b>state</b> 7:23	street 4:20	submitting
L				<del></del>

				1090 2.
subscribed	supported	tel1 40:4,7	78:25	63:15 64:8
85:17	45:10	42:14 55:7	80:17	66:13
subsequent	supportive	64:20	things 38:20	1
83:9	22:8	telling 55:2	46:24 49:5	69:10
substantial	supposed	temptation	54:8 57:18	71:12 77:4
26:17	51:10 60:7	65:22	61:18	79:8,15
36:16,20	60:20,21	temptations	think 38:6	81:4 82:18
75:9	67:1	66:9	38:25	84:2,6
substant	sure 27:16	ten 62:8,21	39:11	85:7
26:19	32:8 56:12	64:9	40:11,23	times 11:13
35:19	61:5 64:24	tend 64:1	41:25 42:5	31:25 62:9
substitute	77:16	term 56:25	45:8,23	timing 72:6
21:11	78:15	terms 12:1	52:3,7,12	today 4:16
33:11	surrounding	14:6	52:15,24	5:6 6:8
succinct	31:19 37:3	test 54:7	53:5 54:9	7:11 9:19
75:8	survey 26:4	testifying	54:15,24	11:6 12:23
suffering	<b>system</b> 32:15	4:16 85:9	56:24 60:1	14:5 37:10
4:9	41:11 47:9	testing 39:2	61:15,15	68:6
sufficient		tests 53:6	63:24	Todd 42:20
22:22		thank 5:8	66:23	42:21 67:7
35:13	take 4:12	7:12 48:22	69:24 75:6	67:16
76:20	43:6 59:11	52:23	76:18 78:2	told 68:4
sufficie	61:16	57:15	78:3,9	tomorrow
37:19	80:17 82:8	59:23	79:7,19	4:19,21
76:14	taken 2:7	62:13,14	thinking	5:13,16
suggest 78:9	25:5,7	63:5 65:7	70:10	<b>TOOLEN</b> 3:13
suggesting	36:2 39:3	74:5,22	Thirdly 59:6	top 44:17
54:16	65:22 68:9	83:23 84:5	Thomas 1:9	45:2
suggestion	85:6	theirs 57:3	4:6	totally 51:1
78:1	<b>takes</b> 39:2	therapeutic	thou 61:2	61:23
<b>Suite</b> 3:14	58:20	19:16	thought	tough 40:6
<b>suited</b> 36:25	talk 15:2	22:16	49:14,15	Town 66:4
summary 6:9	18:11	23:15	75:23	trade 80:5
78:24	83:14	32:23	three 15:22	traffic
summer 56:3	talked 49:10	therapeu	29:7,7,8	26:17
supervision	69:3,4	25:2	31:18	35:14,18
31:15	talking 5:17	thereof	35:24	35:18
supervisors	57:21 62:6	85:13	58:21	36:17 37:9
56:6,18	task 82:21	they'd 78:11	60:15	48:6
supervisory	tasted 40:8	thing 7:8	throwing	trafficking
57:6	tastes 58:25	40:7,7,11	55:21	66:9
suppleme	tax 58:10	41:5 52:1	thrown 49:7	transcribed
78:12	teacher 50:3	52:2 54:23	49:23	85:11
<b>supply</b> 22:21	team 18:6	57:20 60:4	time 6:14,16	transcri
37:21	technology	60:9,22,24	8:15 26:3	85:13
44:23	34:24 41:3	62:1,23	26:3 33:14	transmitted
76:22	64:6	67:25	40:25 41:8	83:22
support 57:7	television	73:16	44:15	transpor
	32:4			
		•		

36:15	29:2 39:20	77:16	26:8 45:17	utmost 64:3
60:24	52:7,17	82:21	46:4,5	utter 55:24
transpor	59:18,19	understa	up-to-date	U.S 13:2
35:14	64:15	60:13	64:5	16:8 73:14
trash 37:11	72:23	undertaking	Urban 13:2	10:0 /3:14
37:12	two-family	73:22	17:11,21	V
49:25	20:11 21:1	undue 10:24	urge 56:2	v22:11 24:6
treated	28:23	16:11 27:3	use 8:20,23	65:24 66:4
14:12 61:1	type 16:7	27:15	9:3,6,16	vacancy
61:6,7	20:6,9	unequivo	10:1,3,4,5	24:22
70:13	22:18	60:3	10:9,10,17	•
treatment	51:25 56:1	unfair 80:5	13:7 15:14	<b>value</b> 57:25
7:18 13:20	57:8 60:6	uniformly	15:24	values 59:18
13:24 39:1	79:20	63:25	18:11,21	van 37:8
39:18 50:2	types 19:23	Unintell	20:3,5,5	48:4
71:2,4,9	71:3	62:24	21:12,19	vans 48:3
71:15	t-shirt	unique 54:3	21:21	various
tried 37:22	50:14	unit 7:17	28:12,13	57:23
trip 36:6		11:15,16	28:17,18	78:17
trips 36:8	ט	11:17 18:7	28:19	vehicles
36:12	ultimately	29:6,7,8	29:10,17	32:24 36:4
troubles	14:17	35:22,23	30:7,11,14	verbatim
54:23	53:25	35:23 36:6	30:19,23	53:2 85:9
<b>true</b> 65:19	unable 9:4	36:7,11,11	30:24 31:4	verify 42:5
74:2	18:10 19:7	39:14,15	32:7 33:16	versus 52:19
truly 78:5	uncomfor	39:22 47:3	33:21,22	viability
trust 14:24	50:5	70:15	33:24 34:2	22:8,20
83:23	undated	units 29:2,7	34:6 35:6	23:21
try 17:4	46:11	35:24	35:12,13	24:25
40:17 63:4	undermine	46:16	35:17,20	37:20 40:1
73:2,6,10	12:11 17:5	University	35:25 36:1	41:13 53:6
trying 14:14	18:2 28:7	67:20	36:21,23	57:20,22
23:8 27:15	28:18	UNKNOWN 51:5	36:24 37:1	57:23
27:16	33:21 34:9	unlawful	37:2 44:20	77:20
37:24 58:2	34:22	15:10	54:12 56:1	viable 19:15
59:18 65:2	undermined	32:22	56:3,24	23:14
74:3	28:15	unlicensed	79:24	39:22
turn 12:18	30:12,15	7:15 8:9	81:16	vicinity
39:24 44:3	30:20 34:3	12:13	uses 5:1	64:24
turned 4:11	36:25	21:18	9:23 26:23	view 65:23
<b>TV</b> 67:14	undermines	28:10	28:23 29:2	68:10
Twenty-t	26:19	unreason	29:11,15	69:15
50:13	undermining	10:20	29:16,18	violate
two 4:19 6:4	10:22	16:10	29:21,25	54:20
13:9,12,12	16:19,21	<b>update</b> 45:21	<b>usual</b> 15:17	violating
15:21 18:9	undersigned	46:15	47:8	7:23 12:3
19:12	85:4	75:24	usually	violation
22:17 27:9	understand	updated 26:2	15:25	8:12 9:12
	39:1 46:17			35:10
		1		

violations	Web 67:12,15	64:22,25	72:5,11,21	years 40:5
7:25 8:8	67:25 68:5	whatnot	73:6,20,24	40:16
8:10 9:1	Wednesday	51:23 79:2	74:2,10,14	62:22 69:4
virtually	1:11 2:10	whatsoever	74:23	
81:18	4:1,8	43:20 54:2	75:15	Z
visit 51:19	week 9:14	WHEREOF	78:22	zone 28:8,10
<b>vital</b> 54:3	17:22 46:2	85:17	79:21 80:1	28:13,15
voluntary	72:24	wife 40:13	80:23	50:24
43:16	weeks 52:8	willing	81:10,20	zones 21:19
	welcome 59:9	38:16	81:24 82:2	28:21
	77:2	39:24	82:8,23	29:13 66:1
<b>W</b> 1:9 4:6	welfare	40:17 41:1	83:11	zoning 10:8
<b>wagon</b> 64:23	29:24	41:14	women 52:19	12:9 16:13
waiting 31:5	well-run	53:22 58:9	52:20	16:20 18:3
67:1	11:22	80:17	wonder 48:3	20:1 28:4
waive 21:13	went 44:16	willingness	wondering	28:12,21
walk 49:4,12	60:18	23:17	68:25	29:20
50:12	weren't32:8	wind 48:12	<b>WONG</b> 3:13	34:22
55:22	west 4:22	winds 40:21	Woods 24:6	
<b>walked</b> 49:8	40:20	48:12,13	25:7	1
walking	46:22	wish 63:22	words 49:11	108:24 32:3
58:23	Wetherholt	76:17	73:19	32:4 78:13
want 14:19	57:16,16	wishes 6:17	work 39:9	78:20
21:7 25:19	59:12	withdraw	43:9,20	10-story
45:10	we'll6:21	9:16	47:17	25:8
54:11,12	18:11	withhold	72:16,19	100 50:11
55:2 58:6	47:12	77:9	74:3 77:11	10003:14
61:9 83:10	61:21	WITNESS	79:13	<b>11</b> 44:18
wanted 4:18 24:10	81:24 82:8	85:17	82:16	45:2
46:15	we're4:4	witnesses	worked 43:22	<b>118</b> 52 : 6
52:21	35:7 36:22	85:8	working	11834.23
wants 16:9	41:14	Wolcott 3:8	56:18 76:9	70:12 <b>12</b> 7:16 8:21
47:17	44:18 45:7	4:14,15	works 61:7	
war 50:24	50:20	5:2,5,12	wouldn't	19:4 21:3
Washington	57:21 60:1 60:20,21	5:19,22,25	17:5 81:4	45:4 60:12
22:11	60:20,21	6:3 12:18	write-up	61:14 77:7 77:13
wasn't48:14	63:18,19	12:20 42:6 42:10	24:2 written	12-bed 25:20
75:10	64:15,24	44:16		12-bed 25:20 12-or-under
77:23	65:2,19	45:12,16	65:14	61:22,22
watch 47:10	66:24 69:8	45:12,16	wrong 14:15 W-e-t-h	<b>123</b> 48:25
59:10	76:8 82:23	46:18	57:17	<b>124</b> 5 : 5
WATSON 3:4	we've 23:6	56:25	J1.1/	51:12
way 14:8	30:21	63:12 65:9	Y	<b>124th</b> 59:16
44:8 54:18	31:23	69:15,18	yards 50:11	<b>1240</b> 4 : 23
61:6 62:21	37:13,15	·	yeah 5:11	<b>13</b> 31:17
78:6	37:22	70:22,25	63:24	50:12
wear 62:18	49:10 63:6	71:21,25	81:10	<b>13.44</b> 36:7
				<b>148</b> 46:21

1544 01 5	1 00 00	2552.5	
<b>15th</b> 81:5	20:23	<b>355</b> 3 : 5	
82:6,7	68:12	<b>3604</b> 16:8	
18 24 : 22	71:14	38 29:2	
19th 81:2	<b>21</b> 40:16	388 71:10	
<b>19.18</b> 36:9	<b>21st</b> 4:20	**************************************	
<b>1971</b> 51:3	5:6	4	
2	<b>213</b> 3:6	44:21 83:20	
	1	4th 50:17,17	
261:13	9:13 40:16	50:19 52:8	
2,00050:18	<b>23</b> 24 : 22	52:10	
2-year-old	50:12,12	<b>4:35</b> 2:9 4:2	
49:9	1	<b>40</b> 40 : 6	
20 70:8		<b>40th</b> 3:5	
78:25	1	<b>41</b> 40:6	
ł .	1	<b>42</b> 16 : 8	
I .	1	431-1100	
	28th 7:17,18	3:15	
20.10.020	9:15 13:21	THE STATE OF THE S	
9:20 10:4	13:22,24	5	
21:17	14:3,11	<b>50</b> 70:8	
20.91A.050		<b>52</b> 60:11	
	48:25,25		
<b>20.98</b> 6:10	50:18,19	<b>57</b> 25 : 9	
20.98.025	50:21 52:7		
10:25	52:9 68:12		
<b>2006</b> 7 : 21		<b>6</b> 63:18	
8:1 58:11	<b>29</b> 1:11 2:10	<b>6:25</b> 2:10	
66:12 67:9	4:1	4:2 84:6	
67:16 68:3		<b>626-8484</b> 3:6	
68:18	9:15 83:22	644-3002	
2006-2007	83:23	3:10	
8:4	The second secon	200 AND CO.	
<b>2007</b> 26:4	3	<b></b> 7	
67:9 75:23	<b>3</b> 6:17 47:12	<b>741</b> 3:15	
20088:13,17	<b>3rd</b> 83:20		
8:19,24	84:5	8	
2008-05	3-year-old	832:3,4	
29:17	58:6	9	
<b>2008-5</b> 8:18	<b>30</b> 24:21		
12:12	<b>30th</b> 4:23	9th 22:9	
20091:11	51:8,12	<b>90-day</b> 33:4	
2:10 4:1	52:18	90071-3101	
8:24,25	<b>300</b> 47:22	3:5	
9:13	<b>3206</b> 4:22	<b>92626</b> 3:14	
2044:20 5:5	<b>3300</b> 2:8 3:9	92658-8915	
<b>207</b> 7:17	<b>338</b> 68:11	3:10	
13:21,22	71:10	<b>9266</b> 2:12	
13:23 14:3	<b>3388</b> 7:20	85:23	
14:11	39:3 61:1	<b>949</b> 3:10	
}	<del> ·</del>	1	